ПНР
3 52

D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

C. continued

A.

Armstrong, Washington	vs	M. F. Vesgara,	162.
Ah Len	vs	Koong Lee,	214.
Austin Bro. & Coffman	vs	J. S. Ewing	259.
Anderson. C. G.	vs	A. B. Jones & J. Fitch,	281.
Anderson. A.	vs	J. A. Bonback	311.
Ah Sam	vs	Joseph Spinney	372.
Ah Chong	vs	same	374.

B.

Bratton. J.	vs	A. F. Peters	41.
Banta, F. & wife	vs	Fresno Canal & I. Co.	62. 64.
Brown, Wm	vs	A. Larrish & G. Strock	172.
Banta, F. & Wife	vs	Fresno Canal & I. Co.	231.
Blassingame, L. A	vs.	J. B. Lowry	246.
Banadom. F. A.	vs	A. J. Lapur & Marshall.	266.
Berry, Tilton. G	vs	Frank H. Ball	293.
Butler, A. B.	vs	Alex: Olin	331.
Bunhard. E. F	vs	Joseph Hart	333.
Bucher & Brinson	vs	A. Cristensen	393.

C.

Choice. A. B.	vs	W. D. Wristen,	24.
Cañada, Manuel	vs	F. A. Garcia.	29.
Clark. L. A.	vs	Wm Ross.	103.
Campbell. J. B	vs	Thos Edwin Anderson,	185.
Crawford, Robt.	vs.	Packard Bro	200.
Cranmer, W. A.	vs	C. E. & L. Brinson	204.
Church M. J.	vs	John Frazer.	255.
same	vs	John Dor Patron	256.
same	vs	Gabriel Manuel	260
same	vs	Jo. Rollin	261.

D.

Dickey. W. J.	vs	C. W. Gearhart.	13.
DeLong. C. W.	vs	J. S. Filson	171.
	vs	~~Gabriel Manuel~~	~~260~~
	vs	~~Jo. Rollin~~	~~261.~~

E.

Eisen F. J.	vs	William Johnson	7.
Einstein, Louis.	vs	Ray-White	106.
Eggers & Co	vs	S. C. Swaggerty	107.
Einstein & Co	vs	John F. Silver	166.
Echtunch Jacob.	vs	Fritz Bulenberg	262.
Enos, Anna. D.	vs	Joe Vieira Rodriges	269.
Eggers, Geo: H	vs	Ah Jim	272.

F.

Faymonville William	vs	R. M. Jones & J. N. Walker.	20.
Fowler Switch & Canal Co	vs	J. E. Hughes, M. J. Church, J. W. North & Robt. Barlow	27.
Fresno Publishing Co.	vs	L. J. Bloomington	54.
Ferguson, J. W.	vs	same	63.
Frankenau & Son	vs	C. C. Burnitt.	115.
Fresno County Bank	vs	J. C. M. N. Garm & S. Davis,	218.
First National Bank	vs	J. C. M. N. Garm & W. T. Garm.	219.
Farmers Bank	vs	M. R. Madera	263.
Frates, M. A	vs	Frank C. Boldas.	288.
Fanning, P. R.	vs	G. Olsen	291.
Fanning & Kroger	vs	Warren & J. T. Harbison,	340.
Flemming, R. H.	vs	A. Farley	354.
Fanning P. R.	vs	J. T. Harbison	378.

G.

Grady, W. D.	vs	Chas. Sharpe & Co	69.
Same	vs	Wm Stevenson	71.
Goldstein, A. S.	vs	E. C. Mason,	168.
Grady W. D.	vs	J. P. Nelson	223.
Same	vs	R. C. Adler	285.
Graves, W. L	vs	J. H. Walton	343.

H.

Herman, Levy	vs	E. A. Dinsmore	68.
Hutchings, Eliza, admrx.	vs	Wm Greenpell.	79.
Henry, S. W.	vs	Joseph Medley	91.
Holmes, Owen	vs	C. F. Riggs.	212.
Hancock, J. B.	vs	S. G. Stigall	252, 256.
Hansen Jans	vs	Geves Hanson	289.

Felick, B. v Hugh Daly 366.

K.

Kutner & Goldstein	vs	A. B. Cogine	23.
Same	vs	N. D. Gilbert	84.
King, E. J.	vs	L. C. Anderson Ripe.	174.
Kutner & Goldstein	vs.	J. A. Walton	251.
Same	vs	W. H. Donahoo.	264.
Same	vs	C. J. Clifford	300.
Same	vs	Owen Holmes	303.

L.

Lawson, N.	vs	D. W. Henry.	97.
Loy, James	vs	B. C. Vaughn.	245.
Lawson, N. J.	vs	R. H. Fleming	380.

B J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

M.

Marshall & Oden	vs	C. H. Haun	31.
Morrow, Hiram	vs	Chas. Sheehan	85.
Meyer, Henry	vs	S. W. Henry.	96.
Myer. J.	vs	J. H. Simmons	186.
Meyer. A. C.	vs	Schneider & Lemke.	215.

N.

Noble. Geo. A.	vs	Lewis & Baird	332.
Nichols. W. R	vs	A. Orcier	368.

O.

Owens, C. A.	vs	Fred Bartlett.	164.
Same	vs	A. J. Pool	165.

P.

Portous, James	vs	Chester E Burnitt,	73.
Petcovich & Berbora	vs	B. Jelich	119.

People of State	vs	John Murphy	pap	2.
" "	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	"	3.
				4.
				5.
				9.
				10.
				12.
People	vs	Thomas Rice	60.	14.
"	vs	Neilson & Rasmussen.	61.	15.
"	vs	Jack McGuiggin	64	16.
"	vs	Warren Hill	66.	17.
"	vs	George Foster	70.	
"	vs	Wing Lee	72.	18.
"	vs	Susan Matthews	75.	19.
"	vs	Ah Wong	76.	21.
"	vs	Foo Gee, Ah Ding		22.
		Ah Young & Ah Gee	77.	25.
"	vs	Geo. Thompson	81.	26.
"	vs	William Pearce	82.	28.
"	vs	John Brown et al		30.
		Michael Kennedy	83.	33.
"	vs	Thad Schell	87.	34.
"	vs	Ah Fool	88.	35.
"	vs	Dick Wah, Ah Foo.		36.
		Lee Wah & Ah Charley	89.	37.
"	vs	John Murphy.		38.
		Michael Whalen and		39.
		M. G. Taylor	90.	40.
"	vs	Adolph Metrivich	92.	42.
"	vs	Wm Boon	94.	43.
"	vs	A. J. Delam	95.	44.
"	vs	Geo. Davis	98.	45.
"	vs	A. B. McKay	99.	46.
"	vs	Lawrence, Martin et al.	100.	47.
		James Cassady		48.
	"	[illegible]		49.
	"	Baird		52.
	"	Wm Sommers		53.
	"	W. Cox		55.
	"	O. Duke		56
				57.
				58
				59.

M.

Marshall & Oden v. C. H. Hann 31.
Morrow,
Meyer.
Myer.
Meyer.

The People. v. Chas. Imperatrice 101.
" v. Joaquin Lamoth, Jack Lamoth and Henry Peso 104.
" v. Chas. Blomberg 105.
" v. Joseph Spinney 108.
" v. James Bradley and Thomas Rice, (alias W. H. Standiper 109.
" v. Dan Malony 110.
" v. Ah Hin 111.
" v. James Tellon 112.
" v. Andrew Brown 113.
" v. John McDougall, Joe Gannon, Ed Smith, H. H. Green, D. E. Glenn, Frank Burrell, Joe Phillips and James McMannis. 114.
" v. Peter Keeplin 116.
" v. Ed Webber and Fred. Johnson 117.
" v. Brad Conway 118.
" v. Peter Harman 121.
" v. Lam Lee. 122.
" v. Ah Poe 123.
" v. Ah Kung 124.
" v. Ah Chung 125.
" v. Ah Tong 126.
" v. Ah Hong 127.

Noble. G
Nichols.

Owens.
Sam

Portous,
Petcovich

People of State	vs	John Murphy	Pap	2.
" "	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	"	3.
				4.
				5.
				9.
				10.
The People	vs.	Ah Gee	128.	12.
"	vs.	Ah Kuig	129.	14.
"	vs	Ah Chee	130.	15.
"	vs.	Ah Pong	131.	16.
"	vs.	Ah Jim	132.	17.
"	vs	Same.	133.	
"	vs	Ah Sin	134.	18.
"	vs	Ah Quong	135.	19.
"	vs	Ah Ching	136.	21.
"	vs	Ah Lum	137.	22.
"	vs	Ah Jim	138.	25.
"	vs	Ah Ching	139.	26.
"	vs	Ah Horby	140.	28.
"	vs	Ah-Jackee	141.	30.
"	vs	Ah Gee	142.	33.
"	vs	Ah Lee.	143.	34.
"	vs	Ah Hee	144.	35.
"	vs	Ah Charley	145.	36.
"	vs	Ah Ling	146.	37.
"	vs	Ah Hum	147.	38.
"	vs	Ah Woe	148.	39.
"	vs	Ah Kuig	149.	40.
"	vs	Ah Ching	150.	42.
"	vs	Ah Leet	151.	43.
"	vs	Ah Wing	152.	44.
"	vs	Ah Ging	153.	45.
"	vs	Ah Leva	154.	46.
"	vs	Thomas Malony	155.	47.
				48.
				49.
				52.
				53.
				55.
"		Baird		56
"		Wm Sommers		57.
"		W. Cox		58
"		O. Duke		59.

M.

Marshall & Oden vs C. H. Haun 31.
Morrow,
Meyer.
Meyer.
Meyer.

The People	vs	William Wilson	156.
"	vs.	George Petersen	157.
"	vs.	John Morris	158.
"	vs	M. G. Trinidad	159.
"	vs	Peter Tonetti	160.
"	vs	Thomas Wildreth	161.
"	vs	E. Polkinghorn	163.
"	vs	M. G. Trinidad	167.
"	vs.	Lucian Jim	169.
"	vs	John Davis	173.
"	vs.	W. J. Cisco	175.
"	vs	George Wedrith	177.
"	vs.	W. O'Brien, James Ward, John Murphy & Rich^d. Fox.	178.
"	vs	George Madden	179.
"	vs	Mansfield	180.
"	vs	George Fallon	181.
"	vs.	George Madden	182.
"	vs	Gus Parsea	184.
"	vs.	Chris Larsen	187.
"	vs	Th^s. Holschau	188.
"	vs	Wm Murphy, Louis Bonezetti, Fred Fishu & Wm Harris	190.
"	vs	Isadore Haynes	191.
"	vs	J. B. Felton	192.
"	vs	John Doe	193.
"	vs.	John Walters	194.
"	vs.	Wm Brown & Chas. Heath	195.

Noble. G
Nichols.

Owens,
Sam

Portous,
Petcovich

People of State	vs	John Murphy	pap	2.
" "	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	"	3.
				4.
				5.
				9.
				10.
The People	vs.	Baptista Baldelli,	196.	12.
"	vs.	Grant Barney	197.	14.
"	vs.	Frank & Herman Schell.	198.	15.
"	vs.	J. F. Minerman	201.	16.
"	vs.	Jack Mc Sweggan	202.	17.
"	vs.	Jose Gonzales	203.	
"	vs.	Thos. Rice and B. H. Lannis	205.	18.
"	vs.	Ah Ilin	206.	19.
"	vs	Ed Denny	207.	21.
"	vs	Ah Hung	208.	22.
"	vs.	John Doe Baly	209.	25.
"	vs.	Jacob Wool	210.	26.
"	vs.	Jo. Fairfield, (alias Smith)	211.	28.
"	vs	Richard Hammond.	213.	30.
"	vs	A. J. Delano.	216.	33.
"	vs	Jena Moby	220.	34.
"	vs.	Thos. Dunleavy, Dan Cotten,		35.
		& Louisa Nichols	221.	36.
"	vs	Frank Ross & Wm Berrian	222.	37.
"	vs.	Geo. Petersen	224.	38.
"	vs	Wing Lee	225.	39.
"	vs	J. B. Fulton	226.	40.
"	vs	Ah Sing	227.	42.
"	vs	Geo. Mansfield	229.	43.
"	vs	A. B. Cron	230.	44.
"	vs	John Ashderian	232.	45.
"	vs.	Benjamin Webber, Charles		46.
		Mahn and Joe Kingsbury	233.	47.
				48.
				49.
				52.
				53.
				55.
	"	Baird		56
	"	Wm Sommers		57.
	"	W. Cox		58
	"	O. Duke		59.

3.

M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

M.

Marshall & Oden vs C. H. Haun 31.
Morrow,
Meyer.
Meyer.
Meyer.

The People vs. Thomas Dunleavy 234.
" vs. Chas. Babindas. (W. Majis. 235.
" vs. Wm Packard, Leon Germain, and Wm McNight. 236.
" vs Thomas Dunleavy 237.
" vs John Chinaman 238.
" vs Miles. S. Hansen 239.
" vs John Doe et. al. 240.
" vs David Tilt, Geo. Wool, and Ray Willard 241.
" vs P. N. Douglass. 242.
" vs. Jose Evelena, 243.
" vs Ah Sang (Chinaman) 244.
" vs Wm Boon. 247.
" vs John Burns 248.
" vs Same 249.
" vs Robert Maitorel 250
" vs Mrs. J. B. Turney 251.
" vs. John Payne & Joseph Spinney 254
" vs John Doe 265.
" vs W. J. Weakley 267.
" vs Charles Barker 268.
" vs J. J. White & L. Rapelje 270
" vs Jos. O'Dell & Jas. Brown 271
" vs George Warner & Geo. Jones 274
" vs George Mansfield 275.
" vs Lee Ching 276.
" vs John Burns & Mat Wright 277.

Noble. G
Nichols.

Owens.
Sam

Portous,
Petcovich

People of State	vs	John Murphy	pap	2.
" "	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	"	3.
				4.
				5.
				9.
				10.
The People	vs.	Jack Carlile.	278.	12.
"	vs	Tracy Devar (alias Maggie Stewart)	279.	14.
"	vs	Ah Ping	280.	15.
"	vs	James Callehan	282.	16.
"	vs	Chas. Cole & wife and Jamie Doling	283.	17.
"	vs	Jeff. E. Davis	284.	18.
"	vs	Fred Smith	286.	19.
"	vs	Annie Wood	287.	21.
"	vs	Geo. Mansfield	290.	22.
"	vs	Maggie Collins & Georgie Edwards	292.	25.
"	vs	Chas. Manuel Fritado	294.	26.
"	vs	Juan Vallinasta	295.	28.
"	vs	Fulton G Berry. 389.	296.	30.
"	vs	John Doe et al. (Chinamen)	297.	33.
"	vs	Same "	298.	34.
"	vs	John Akrien & Ph. Akrien.	299.	35.
"	vs	H. Shell	301.	36.
"	vs	Maggie Collins.	302.	37.
"	vs	Ching Shack.	304.	38.
"	vs	James Rust.	305.	39.
"	vs	Ah Charley	306.	42.
"	vs	Dennis Hayes	307.	43.
"	vs	Mattie Willard	308.	44.
"	vs	James Riley	309.	45.
"	vs	G. W. Schmalle	310.	46.
"	vs	Wm Lewis & Nettie Jones.	312.	47.
"	vs	Indian John.	313.	48.
				49.
				52.
				53.
				55.
	"	Baird		56
	"	Wm Sommers		57.
	"	W. Cox		58
	"	O. Duke		59.

M.

Marshall & Oden vs C. H. Haun 31.

Morrow,
Meyer.
Meyer.
Meyer.

People	vs.	Antone Rosa.	314.
"	vs	John Arnold	315.
"	vs	T. Bralenburg & Wm Cartwright	317.
"	vs	Thos. Carroll.	318.
"	vs	same	319.
"	vs	Jacob Ashman.	320.
		John Ashman., and.	"
		John Seropian	"
"	vs	Jo Bose.	321.
"	vs	Louis Paradisi	322.
"	vs	James Kames & Jas. Finley	323.
"	vs	James Smith	324.
"	vs	Chinny Slack	325.
"	vs	Isador Hayes	326.
"	vs	John Whalan (Whalebone Jack)	327.
"	vs	Joe Sullivan	328.
"	vs	Paddy Ryan	329.
"	vs	~~Alex Olin~~ Thos. Brown	334.
		and James Wilson	"
"	vs	Geo. Wright, James Johnson,	
		O. Smith and Jim Howard	335.
"	vs	James Murray, Thos. Lee,	336
		Joe Burke, John Bacon	"
		Henry Stewart, James Young	"
		Billy Williams	"
"	vs	Ah Lunni	337.
"	vs	James Wilson	338.
"	vs	Chang Om	341.

Noble. G
Nichols.

Owens.
Sam

Portous,
Petcovich

People of State	vs	John Murphy	pap		2.
"	"	Geo. Rasmussen	"		3.
		[illegible]			4.
					5.
					9.
					10.
The People	vs.	Henry Malony	342.		12.
"	vs.	B. Smith	344.		14.
"	vs.	James Carpenter	345.		15.
"	vs.	Jas. Whiting & John Murry.	346.		16.
"	vs	James Harvey	347.		17.
"	vs	Geo. V. Beckman.	348.		18.
"	vs	J. L. Ferris	349.		19.
"	vs	C. P. Hern	350.		
"	vs	Leon Kerney	351.		21.
"	vs	C. W. Dawson	352.		22.
"	vs	Berth Beattie.	353.		25.
"	vs	John H. O'Neal	355.		26.
"	vs	John Cole	356.		28.
"	vs	M. J. Church	357.		30.
"	vs	Jn Tong & Ah Song	358.		33.
"	vs	James M. Faymens.	359.		34.
"	vs	Peter Rasmussen	360.		35.
"	vs	John Galligan	361.		36.
"	vs.	Frank Farres	362.		37.
"	vs	Andrew Brown	363.		38.
"	vs	Franklin Farris	364.		39.
"	vs	Wm Hambleton	365.		40.
"	vs	Wm Welch & Thos. Kelly	367.		42.
"	vs.	Maggie Collins & Lizzie Edwards	369.		43.
"	vs.	David Cannon	370.		44.
"	vs.	W. F. Bogan	375.		45.
"	vs	Steve Brown & Jim Lizzie	376.		46.
"	vs	Same Same	377.		47.
					48.
					49.
					52.
					53.
					55.
	"	Baird			56
	"	Wm Sommers			57.
	"	W. Cox			58
	"	O. Duke			59.

M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

M.

Marshall & Oden vs C. H. Haun 31.
Morrow,
Meyer.
Myer.
Meyer.

The People	vs	John Higgins	379.
"	vs	J. B. Nash	381.
"	vs	John Jenkens, John Stiten,	382
		and Andrew Andersen	"
"	vs	Walker White, Thos. Lane &	
		Geo. Davis	383.
"	vs	Jack Hagerty	384.
"	vs	H. C. Tedney	385
"	vs	Joe Smith	386.
"	vs	Lee Lung	387.
"	vs	Charles O'Neal	388.
"	vs	Jack Gannon	390
"	vs	Tom Linch	391.
"	"	Isador Haynes	392.
"	"	Wm Mannoy	394.
"	"	John Cole, Matt Walsh,	
		and Wm Calhoun.	395.
"	vs	Edward Burton, T. M.	
		Mansfield & Thos. Kelly.	396.
"	vs	Jenny Wilson & Bell Thorne	397.
"	vs	John Wilson	398
"	vs	Wm Jackson & Wm Berry	399.

Noble. G
Nichols.

Owens.
Sam

Portous,
Petcovich

People of State	vs	John Murphy	pap	2.
" "	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	"	3.
" "	vs	A. J. Beckwith	"	4.
" "	vs	Juan Ruis	"	5.
" "	vs	Jim Senacht & Lubbe	"	9.
" "	vs	Arthur Green	"	10.
" "	vs	B. Conney	"	12.
" "	vs	J. H. Kinney	"	14.
" "	vs	Jos Smith & Thos. Hunt	"	15.
" "	vs	R. Tobin, M. Mooney. Wm Smith & Fred Adams		16.
" "	vs.	Jas. Gray		17.
" "	vs	D. Imperatum. G. De. Gaetano, & Gabriel De Gaetano.		18.
" "	"	M. Leonard & Con. Mooney		19.
	"	Jas. Galizer, John Tobin & Mc Coglin		21.
	"	Chas. Bartlett		22.
	"	C. W. Myers & Wm Prescott		25.
	"	" "		26.
	"	Manuel Soto & A. Wheeler		28.
	"	Fred Schneiar.		30.
	"	Jacob Wool.		33.
	"	John N Russel		34.
	"	Edward Glenn		35.
	"	Richard Hammond		36.
	"	Lee Riley		37.
	"	Jack & Bob. (Indians)		38.
	"	Thos. Carter, John Smith & others		39.
	"	J. Echurnock		40.
	"	Fred Schneider		42.
	"	Jno. Farley & Jas. Morgan		43.
	"	G. Foster & Brad Conney		44.
	"	Louis Smadell		45.
	"	Eugene Schaumann & J. Lee		46.
	"	Wm Taylor		47.
	"	Isaac Flint		48.
	"	Wm Robinson		49.
	"	Henry Williams		52.
	"	Ah Jim		53.
	"	Geo. Rasmussen		55.
	"	Baird		56
	"	Wm Sommers		57.
	"	W. Cox		58
	"	O. Duke		59.

MINCHEDUVRE

Z

Zuarrenback, Peter vs M. Denike 257.

R

Rupert, Geo.	vs	John Whitt	1.
Reese Bros.	vs	Geo. Rupert	11.
Rupert, Geo	vs	Chas. Anderson	51.
Rawlins, J. S	vs	J. H. Bradley	120.
Rupert, Geo	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	189.
same	vs	B. Meyer.	199-201.
Rasmussen Annie	vs	Joseph Hart	228.
Rolle, Frank	vs	M. Ludtke	273.
Read, W. D	vs	J. J. Hall	316.
Rousseau, Wm	vs	C. K. Brown.	330.

S.

Siradell, Frank	vs	Joseph Spinney	50.
Singer Manufacturing Co.	vs	L. J. Bloomington.	67.
Schmit, John	vs	Henry Bombach	80.
Same	vs	J. A. Jasman	93.
Songlian No.	"	Jno. J. Cole.	170.
Swain, DeLos.	vs	Ephraim Moore.	176.
Smart, Henry.	vs	M. R. McEdara.	183.
Songlian, J.	vs	Jos. Spinney	217.

T.

Tanner, E.	vs	Jos. J. Cole & Loomis.	6.
Taylor, Wm	vs	Geo. Rasmussen.	8.
Toombs, A	vs	W. D. Wristen	65.
Same	vs	Frank Davidson & Ed Bacon.	74.
Same	vs	T. L. Dorsen	78.
Same	vs	W. D. Grady	86.
Terry, D. S. Jr.	vs	Andrew Farley	102.
Taylor, Thos. No	vs	L. F. Winchell	339.

U.

V.

Vanvalen, C.	vs	Francis E. Jadlocke	258.

W.

Winchell, L. A.	vs	J. D. Ballou	32.
Wong Hoy	vs	Joseph Spring	373.

U
V
W
X
Y
Z

DAT

No. 272.

In the Justice's Court of Ilmira Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

George Rupert Plaintiff

vs.

John White Defendant

Demand $11.00

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 25th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Dept Constable. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Dep. Const. Abbey
Sept. 16th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of September 1885. and filed this day
	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
" 28th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired. the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of E. E. Calhoun attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Eleven Dollars the sum sued for and the sum of Nineteen and 80/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States —
	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 11,00
	" " " Justice fee — 8.50
	" " " Constable " 11,30
	Total amount of Judgment $30,80
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 273.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Murphy, Defendant

Action Disturbing

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1883	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Abbey
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
"	and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty
	and waives the legal time for sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence
	that you John Murphy be and you are hereby
	fined in the sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment
	But Defendant at once paid said fine into Court
	and thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2.00
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 274.

In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Rasmussen

Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

J. H. Bay
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Abby
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500.00 Bond approved & filed
25.	This cause set down for examination at 3 P.M. May 25th 1885. Issued subpoenas for the People & Defendant and gave Const.
"	3. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination A. J. Buckmith, Peter Jensen and Carrie Woods sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution, People Close. Frances Chapman & Geo. Rasmussen sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Defense Closed. After argument of Counsel pro and con. The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant of the crime Charged.

S. H. Hill J.P.

4

No. 275.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Beckwith

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Dept. Cons. Atty
paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
		and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for
		trial in a bond of $50.00 Bond approved and filed.
"	25.	Defendant in Court. The Court after satisfactory investigation
		ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds
	"	that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 276.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Ruiz
Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 22.	Complaint filed by affidavit — Warrant issued — directing that the Defendant be taken before the Superior Judge for Examination — as to his condition —
	L. H. Hill J.P.

No. 277.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. Janice, Plaintiff

vs.

John J. Cole & Loomis, Defendants

Action Account

Demand $221.50/100

W. S. Grady & Minnie
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hind & Stuart
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	25	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell,
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant
		John J. Cole together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein
		on the 25th day of May 1885 — and filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
	28	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Hinds & Stuart, and
		files the demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein —
June 2.		Demurrer overruled —
July	2	This cause set down for trial at 9 O'C. A. M. July 6th 1885 —
"	6	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served
		with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
		complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for
		answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the
		premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process
		and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint
		herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default
		of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered
		according to law Now at this day on application of Grady
		and Minnie attorneys for the Plaintiff. It is ordered that
		judgment be entered herein against the said defendants
		in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's complaint
		on file herein — Wherefore by reason of the law and
		the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the
		Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant
		the sum of Two Hundred and Twenty-One and 50/100 dollars principal
		and the sum of Thirteen and 70/100 dollars cost of suit — in gold
		Coin of the United States —
"		Judgment for principal — 221.50
"		" " Justices fee — 9.00
"		" " Constable " 4.70
"		Total amount of Judgment — $235.20

B. H. Hill J. P.

No. 278.

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

H. G. Pirsen
Plaintiff

vs.

William Johnson
Defendant

Action account

Demand $9.80/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 25.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Cont Mitchell —
" 27.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of May 1885. and filed —
June 1st.	This cause paid in full — Dismissed —

J. H. Hill J.P.

8

No. 279.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

William Taylor, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Rasmussen, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 35.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Stuart

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Mitchell
	29	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Hinds & Stuart and files his answer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein
June	3	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 29th day of May 1885 and filed this day

No. 2 84.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Jno Jerald & M. Linbb
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheff Cond: McJunell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead not guilty as charged — and say they are ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — after which the Cause was submitted. The Court after having fully considered the matter, ordered that the parties charged with the said offense be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict said accused —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 281

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Arthur Green, Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
May 27	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his trial.
" 28	Defendant in Court and pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Arthur Green be and you are fined in the sum of Ten Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 282.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Account

Geo Rice & Bro. — Plaintiffs

vs.

Geo. Rupert — Defendant

Demand $60.00/100

Gregory & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	28th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein this day and filed —
"	29th	Now comes the defendant by his attorney E. E. Calhoun and for answer denies each and every allegation in the Plaintiffs complaint contained —
June	1st	This cause is set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 6th 1885 —
	2	Issued Subpoena for the defendant and gave Const.
	4th	Subpoena returned and filed —
"	6th	Now comes the Plaintiff and asks that this action be dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 283.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Bradford Conway
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Mitchell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the law - Cause Continued defendant on his own recognizance -
"	
Paid	

No. 284.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

N. J. Dickey Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Graham Defendant

Action Due Bill

Demand $17.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 29th day of June 1885, and filed —
June 9th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $18.27 the Principal sued for and the further sum of $5.87/100 costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal — 18.27
	" " " Justice fees — 4.00
	" " " Constable " 1.80
"	Total amount of Judgment — $24.07
	E. H. Hill J.P.
" "	Execution issued & given the Plaintiff —
"	J.P. fees paid —

No. 285

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Kennedy
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. L. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 May 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	Cause dismissed by order of Plff. Counsel.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 286.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Burglary

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Smith & Thos. Hunt
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 30th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDowell.
June 1st	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
Paid	" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Joe Smith and Thomas Hunt be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Six days each.
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 287

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Robin Michael Morey
William Smith Fred Adams
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. G. H. McDonald
June 1st	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty — and ordered to appear for sentence at 9 o'c. A.M. June 2d 1885. on their own recognizance —
Paid	

No. 288.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Geary — Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 31st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Miscle —
June 1st	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant
Paid	Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff — to await his trial —
5th	Defendant in Court — The Court orders that the Defendant be discharged in consequence of the absence of the prosecuting witness and as to the inhabitants of said witness —
	S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 289

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Lorenzo Imperatrice, Gaetano
Sr., Gaetano & Gabriel Sr. Gaetano
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 31st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell.
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants held for their appearance at 10 O.C. A.M. June 1st 1885 in bonds of $50 each.
June 1st	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waived the legal time for sentence. Thereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for their offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.50 each. Defendants at once paid their fines and were therefore discharged. Paid Constable the sum of $7.50.
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 290.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

M. Leonard & Con Murray, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Abbey
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendant M. Leonard pladed guilty as charged and waived the legal time for trial and was fined $5.50 Paid. Defendant Con Murray plead not guilty as charged. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his trial.
" 5	Defendant Con Murray in Court. The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground of the absence of the prosecuting witness.
paid	
paid	

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 291.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Haymaville, Plaintiff

vs.

R. M. Jones & J. N. Walker, Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $150.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Windsell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Windsell.
" 3d	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3d day of June 1885, and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
" 6th	This action paid in full by Rio. Woodson —

D. H. Hill Jr.

No. No 292.

In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Trespass

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Galizer, John Robin and Jas. McLaughlin —
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Defts Const. McDonell —
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights by the law, Defendants plead guilty as charged — Court ordered the defendants to appear in Court at 9 O.C. A.M. June 2d 1885 — for sentence on their own recognizance —
Paid	

No. 293

In the Justice's Court of Ghind Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of Calipornia Plaintiff

vs.

Charly Bartlett Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

June 1st Complaint filed Warrant issued & given officer -
" Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights - before the Law Defendant pleads not guilty - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await the Trial -
" 2 This Cause set down for trial at 3 O.C. P.M. June 2. 1885
Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Cert.
" " 3 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and says he is ready for trial
S. Bugueno & John Mills sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution - Prosecution Closes -
The Defendant & Thomas Rice sworn and examined for the defense - defense Closed - Cause Submitted - The Court ordered that the Defendant appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. June 3d 1885. —
3. 9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court this being the time fixed for sentence
" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Chas Bartlett be and you are hereby fined the sum of $15.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of 15. days.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 294.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account.

Kuhne Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

A. B. Cozine — Defendant

Demand $122.29/100

Dunne & Dunne — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Fanning —
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Fanning —
" 4th	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3rd day of June 1885. and filed this day —
"	Writ of attachment returned showing no service and filed —
" 15th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein filed — and the time for answering allowed by law having expired. the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein. and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Dunne and Dunne attorneys for said Plaintiffs It is ordered that judgment be entered against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of the said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred and twenty two and 29/100 Dollars the amount of the principal debt due and the further sum of Twenty and 10/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal 122.29
"	" " Justices fees — 8.50
"	" " Constables " 11.60
"	Total amount of Judgment. $142.39
	E. H. Hill J.P.

June 18th 1886. Appeal & security to Cir. Court - Fanning —
Mch 16 — " Security returned not satisfied — Geo. Goodwin
July 1st " G. N. for Paid by Plaintiff

No. 295

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

H. B. Chrisler, Plaintiff

vs.

W. B. Winston, Defendant

Action Note and account

Demand $298.08/100

Sayle & Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
" 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3 day of June 1885, and filed —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
" 5.	Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
" 10.	Paid on this action the sum of $322.00 leaving a balance now due and unpaid the sum of $29.58 —
" 13.	This action is fully paid and is dismissed —
	S. H. Hall Jus. —
From Page 25 — 18.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed — J. E. Crane on the stand — and S. L. Moore — & W. E. Foreman on the stand — Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 19th 1885 —
" 19.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed — Walter Smith and Geo. L. Moore on the stand — Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	1. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed — Witness G. L. Moore on the stand — Deliberate Otis on the stand by the defense — William Taylor & J. M. Harris on the stand by the prosecution — Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 20. 1885 —
20.	9. O.C. A.M. Court convened — Defendants Counsel asks a continuance upon the grounds that Defendant C. M. Myers is sick and consequently unable to appear at this time Dr. Hopkins sworn and examined as to the condition of Defendant Myers — who stated that the Defendant Myers could not appear safely at this time — Court adjourned until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant Myers still absent — by consent of the parties to this action the Court adjourned until 3. O.C. P.M.
"	3. O.C. P.M. The Court reassembled — Defendant C. M. Myers still unable to appear — By Consent Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 22. 1885. (See Page 28)

No. 296.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Stage Robbery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Myers & Wm. Prescott, Defendants

Demand $

J. H. Baby, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. B. Hardy, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Made
" 2nd	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for examination at 10. O.C. A.M. June 15th
Paid	1885 — Bail fixed by the Court at $7,500.00 — and in default of such bail are Committed for examination to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne —
" 9th	Subpoenas returned and filed —
15th	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Court took a recess of fifteen minutes to allow a consultation between the defendants and their Counsel W. B. Hardy —
"	10. 15 Am. Defendants in Court; Defendants file their affidavit asking a Continuance until June 17th 1885 — upon the grounds set out in said affidavit; Issued Bench Warrant for the arrest of Allice Lyman — Court adjourned until 10. O.C. A.M. June 17th 1885 — C. W. Merhat appointed reporter —
" 17th	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court; Defendants ask the Court to further continue this Cause on the grounds of the absence of one Allice Lyman who is still absent. after argument of Counsel pro & con The Court ordered that the examination of said Cause proceed at once. Defendants file a second affidavit asking a Continuance upon the grounds of the absence of an important witness to wit: Rubin Prescott; overruled Defendants exept — The Court ordered the prosecution to proceed — with the Cause — Witnesses for the Prosecution and Defendants sworn and put under the rules of the Court —
	Nil Doby — on the stand by the prosecution —
	The Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. —
"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed Jacob Harter on the stand — Frank Abbright on the stand. Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 18th 1885 —
18.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed —
"	The Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day — (See Page 24)

No. 297

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Meyers and William Prescott, Defendants

Action Robbery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade — Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. By Consent of parties this Cause is set down for examination at 10. O.C.A.M. June 15th 1885 — Bail fixed by the Court at $7,500.00 and in default of such bail are Committed for Examination to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne —
"	9.	Subpoenas returned and filed —
"	17.	By Consent this Cause is Continued generally —
July	1.	By Consent this cause is set down for examination at 10. O.C.A.M. July 27th 1885 —
"	8.	Issued Subpoena for the Prosecution and gave Sheriff Meade —
"	14.	Subpoenas returned and filed —
"	17.	" " " "
"	18.	Now Comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this prosecution against the above named Defendants C. H. Meyers & William Prescott, upon the grounds that the said Defendants are now awaiting trial in the Superior Court for similar offenses committed at the same time and place — The Court so ordered —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 298

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The Fowler Switch Canal Company Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas E. Hughes, M. J. Church, J. N. North and Robert Barton Defendants

Action Account for labor and supplies

Demand $125.00/100

E. C. Winchell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 2.	Complaint filed.

No. 300.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Burglary

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Soto & Henry Wheeler, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 — PROCEEDINGS.

June 3. Complaint filed Warrant issued against both Court McBride affidavit for attachment made & filed Motion to attachment on attachment

Paid " " Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —

" Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Manuel Soto be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail for a period of thirty days — and that you Henry Wheeler be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Tuolumne County for a period of five days —

D. H. Hill J.P.

June By. 24.

June 22. 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — and ready to proceed with the Examination of the Prosecution witnesses. O. J. Meade & H. J. Rafelje on the Stand by the prosecution —

" Court adjourned until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —

" 1. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed — W. J. Howard on the Stand by the prosecution — Prosecution Closed — Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 23rd 1885 —

23. 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed — Defendants Move the Court to discharge them upon the grounds that the evidence adduced is insufficient to hold the defendants — Motion overruled Defendants examined O. J. Meade W. J. Howard and W. E. Bateman on the Stand for the defense — Defense Closed —

" Court adjourned until 2. O.C. P.M. this day —

" 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court — Cause argued pro & con and Submitted —

" The Court after having heard the testimony in this action and it appearing to me that the offense in the deposition mentioned has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the within named. C. M. Meyers and William Prescott guilty thereof —

(See Page. 34)

No. 301.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note of Hand and attorney fees

Manuel Camacho Plaintiff

vs.

F. A. Garcia Defendant

Demand $250.00 & $49.23

Frank H. Farrar
Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	3.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given - Plaintiff Personal -
Sept.	23.	Alias Summons issued and given to Const. Tuolumne
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Tuolumne
"	24.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint - Same filed

No. 312

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Fred Schneider — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	4	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deft. Court McDonald
paid	5.	Defendant discharged —
		S. H. Hill J.P.
Tuol. Page 78.		I order that they be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Thousand ($5000.00) Dollars each — and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until they give such bail —
		S. H. Hill J.P.
		Handed the Papers in this action to the District attorney —

No. 303.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Marshall & Oden, Plaintiffs

vs.

C. H. Hann, Defendant

Action account.

Demand $25.75/100

E. G. Griffith, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	4th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"	5th	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 5th day of June 1885 and filed this day —
"	11th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff complaint on file herein and the time for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law —
"	13th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of E G Griffith attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five and 75/100 Dollars the amount sued for and the further sum of Four and 80/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal 25.75
		" " " Justices fees — 4.00
		" " " Constable " 1.80
"		Total amount of Judgment — $31.55
Sept.	7.	Issued Execution and given Constable — S. H. Hill, J.P.
	8.	Execution returned Satisfied — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 3 14

In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

L. A. Winchell, Plaintiff

vs.

S. D. Ballou, Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 37.12/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Winchell
Sept.	8th	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of July 1885. and filed this day —
	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint on file herein. and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the said Plaintiff. It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Thirty seven and 12/100 dollars the amount sued for, and the sum of Seventeen and 10/100 Dollars costs necessarily incurred in this action all in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Principal sued for 37.12
		" " " Justices fee — 8.50
		" " " Constables " 8.60
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $ 54.22

E. H. Hill J.P.

Aug - 4th 1887 - $5.00 for - Paid by Plaintiff

Appeal Execution Sept. 8th 1885; Fresno Court - Winchell -

No. 3 1 6

In the Justice's Court of Ivins Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Wool
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Court McBride Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Jacob Wool be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5-50 Defendant at once paid said fine — thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2.25
" 5th	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 314

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John H. Russel, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 6	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Mitchell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John H. Russel be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Amador and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days.
"	D. H. Hill. J.P.
Paid	

No. 317.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Edward Glenn
Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	6	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence —
Paid		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Edward Glenn be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of ten days —
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 318.

In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Hammond, Defendant

Action for Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 6	Complaint filed Warrant issued again Deft. Sheriff P. Le Blanc
Paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Richard Hammond be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of two days. E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 319.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Riley

Defendant

Action Furnishing Liquor to Indians

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	6th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Ellis of the 5th Township
"	8th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
paid		and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleaded
		not guilty – Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A. M.
		June 11th 1885 – Issued Subpoenas for Plaintiff & Defendant.
		Defendant committed to the Custody of the Sheriff – to await
		his trial in default of Bond –
	11.	Defendant demanding trial by Jury – Venire issued & given Constable
"	11	11 O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court – and says he is ready for trial
		12 Jurors drawn to try the Cause – Witnesses sworn
		and examined – Cause submitted to the Jury.
		Jury found the Defendant Not guilty.
		Jury and Defendant discharged –
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 310.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Indian Jack & Indian Bob.
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 6	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Ellis of the 5th Township
8	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged — and waive the legal time for sentence —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days each —
paid	E. H. Hall J.P.

No. 311.

In the Justice's Court of Stirling Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Carter John Smith Geo Burns and Jerry Street
Defendants

Action Trespass

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. McDonnell
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead
paid		guilty as charged Const. John Smith Geo. Burns & Jerry Street Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O'C. A.M. June the 9th 1885 on their own recognizance
	"	Defendant Thomas Carter pleads not guilty as charged Defendant committed to the Sheriff to await his trial
		Defendant discharged
		S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 312

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Echternach
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 8	Complaint filed & warrant issued against Deft. Court McDonald
paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence —
	" Therefore by reason of the law and of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Jacob Echternach be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days —
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 313.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. Brattin
Plaintiff

vs.

A. F. Peters —
Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $ 8.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 8	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Stevens —
"	This cause settled in full and dismissed —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 314.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe — alias Fred Schneider
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued agin Court: Mitchell —
Aug	23.	Defendant in Court and discharged —
		S. H. Hills J.P.

No. 315.

In the Justice's Court of Shid Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Barley & James Morgan —
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell —
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law, Defendants plead guilty as charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. June 11th 1885 on their own recognizance —

No. 316.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Martin & Brad Conway, Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued again Court Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants arraigned and discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is not sufficient to convict said Defendants —
Recd	"	
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 317

In the Justice's Court of Fruit Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Donadell
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Louis Donadell be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine in Court and was thereupon discharged — Paid Court — $2.00 —
	J. H. Hile J.P.

No. 318.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Eugen Shannon & Fanny Lee
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and you Court Windell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against
Paid	them and of their rights before the law — Defendants plead guilty
	and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
	and each of you Eugen Shanning and Fanny Lee be and
	you are each of you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff
	of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned
	in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten
	days each of you —
	D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 319.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Taylor
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court Windhull.
Same	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for trial —
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you William Taylor be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 321.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Green

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe – alias Isaac Blind, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. E. Stripping
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads Not guilty – Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. June 12th 1885 – Defendant demands trial by jury – venire issued –
"	12	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court – Cause continued by Consent until 11 O.C. A.M. June 16th 1885 – Jury discharged venire and subpoena issued & given Constable –
"	16	2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court – venire and subpoena returned and filed Parties ready for trial – Jurors drawn & sworn questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were drawn to try the cause viz: J. B. Clearyle. R. P. Paine – J. Noblack. A. G. Elam – J. G. Stiers – J. Rear – C. H. Dwell – W. H. Chana. J. S. Ryan – M. Wallier – A. M. J. Smith – L. L. Bixon – A. C. Williams. W. B. Sheridan & J. P. Nelson drawn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution – Prosecution Rest – Isaac Blind & John Elam – Examined as witness for the defense Defense rest – Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict – The jury after a short retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict: We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged and recommend him to the mercy of the Court: L. L. Bixon foreman
"		Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. June 17th 1885
17		9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Isaac Blind be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25.00 defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged – Paid Court $26.00

S. K. Hill J. P.

No. 321.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Robertson
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
June	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued again Court this day defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law - Defendant pleads not guilty as charged - Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff to await examination -
Recd"	12.	1 O.C. P.M. - Defendant examined and acquitted -
		D. W. Hill J.P.

No. 322

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

Frank Burdell Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spring Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299 00

Gray & Merriam Attorney for Plaintiff

H. D. Brown Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Winchell.
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of June 1885. and filed —
" 17.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney H. D. Brown and files his Demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein filed
" 24.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney H. D. Brown and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
25.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11 o'c. A. M. June the 30th 1885. —
" 27.	By consent of Parties this Cause is continued indefinitely —
July 11.	Cause dismissed J. P. for Want — D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 323.

In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Geo. Rupert — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Anderson — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $12.50 /100

E. E. Cleveland — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Hinchcliff
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Hinchcliff.
	22.	Paid on this action by Peck the sum of $8.00

No. 324.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Williams, Defendant

Action Assault to Rape

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff
W. D. Gurney, Attorney for Defendant

DATE, 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Windhill
July 14	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law - Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County State of California to await his examination -
" 21.	Cause set down for examination at 10 O.C. July 22d 1885 -
"	Issued Subpoena and gave Copies - for the People
" 22.	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - and ready for Examination, Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con Cause Submitted - The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict -

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 325

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDowell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant guilty as charged —
paid		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Jim be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of thirty days —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 326.

In the Justice's Court of B Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The Fresno Publishing Company, Plaintiffs

vs.

L. J. Bloomington — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $43.00/100

Boyle & Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & in Const Winchell
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & in Const Winchell —
July	9.	Summons returned without service and filed —
	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
"	15.	Plaintiff filed his affidavit to obtain order of Publication of Summons —
	"	Order for Publication made and filed —
Oct	10.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served by Publication of Summons and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
	"	In this action the defendant being regularly served with process as the law requires and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered — according to law. Certificate of Publication filed in evidence of the same. Plaintiff sworn and examined as to the justness of his Claim against the defendant. Now at this day on application of Boyle & Harris attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $43.00 the principal sum sued for and the sum of $ the costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in compliance of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Principal sum sued for — 43.00
		" " " Jurisdiction fee — 10.00
	"	" " " Constable — " 4.70
	"	" " " Publisher fee 10.00

Entire amount of judgment $67.70 — L. J. Bloomington

No. 327.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Geo Rammosen
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. B. Chaney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windhill
"	30"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law.
July.	2"	

No. 328.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Baird.
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

H. L. Gracy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12.	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued & given Const. Winchell.

No. 329.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Dunmey
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued against Chas. Winchell
〃	13.	Cause dismissed by order of W. B. Gray - No arrest -
		J. H. Hill

No. 330.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

W. Cox
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. S. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12. 1885	Complaint filed Warrant issued ogain Cont Mitchell

No. 331

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
O. Brith
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. S. Chaney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell

No. 332.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thomas Rice
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. S. Long
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable

No. 333

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Johnson Neilson & Johnson Rasmussen
Defendant

Demand $

W. L. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
1885	13.	This cause by order of W. L. Grady is dismissed. (Mistake)
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 334.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

H. Banta and Martha E. Banta his wife — Plaintiffs

vs.

The Yuba Canal and Irrigation Company Defendant

Action Damage

Demand $299.99/100

H. S. Brown
Attorney for Plaintiff

Power & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June	12.	Change of venue from J. H. Short - Const - J. Township Yuba County State of California
"	"	Complaint - with all the papers transmitted to this Court - filed this day - venue complete -
July	1.	Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. July. 8th 1885 -
"	6.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is continued without day - fixed
Aug.	15.	By order of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Aug 19th 1885 -
"	18.	Issued Subp - for the Plaintiff and gave Plff's Counsel -
"		Plaintiff demands trial by Jury - Service issued -
"	19.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Service and Subpoena returned & filed
"		Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & gave Plaintiff Sum - Complaint amended by Consent of parties - Parties say they are ready for trial - Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification, after which by Consent of Parties the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause viz: S. R. Shaffer, J. S. Morgan, J. P. Gorgan and W. J. Oden - Plaintiff offered in evidence the Deed to the premises in Controversy, H. Banta, Sr - on the stand as a witness for the prosecution. Court took a recess until 1½. O.C. P.M. this day -
"		1½ - O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. Jury all present. Banta on the stand, Hugh Knuppe - A. J. Pedlar - C. P. Walton, J. H. Short, S. Eastwood - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution
"		M. J. Church, Otto Molich - Fred Lenar - Mrs. Anna Rasmussen. Jackson - John Johnson - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defense -
		Court - adjourned until 9½. O.C. A.M. August. 20th 1885 -
20th		9½ - O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Jury all present. J. C. Sheppard Frank Helm, W. H. Ingles, L. B. Church - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defense -
"		By Consent of Parties this Cause is continued until. 10. O.C. A.M. August - 21st 1885 -
" 21st		10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Jury all present - O. P. Wilson Sworn and examined - by the Defense. Ingles recalled by the Defense - Defense closed. J. H. Short - in rebuttal by Plff. Ch. Knuppe - Examined in rebuttal by Plff - See Page 64 -

No. 335.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. W. Burgess, Plaintiff

vs.

L. F. Bloomington, Defendant

Action Printing Bill —

Demand $28.25/100

E. J. Griffith, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell —
July	9.	Summons returned without service & filed
"		Writ of attachment executed returned & filed —
"	18.	Now comes the Plaintiff by his attorney E. J. Griffith and files his affidavit asking and order of the Court for Publication of Summons — Order allowed and filed —
Oct.	13.	Plaintiff filed his affidavit of Publication — and affidavit that no answer has been filed —
"	"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process by Publication of Summons and having failed to appear to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process as the law requires and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises have been duly entered according to law, Certificate of Publication filed in evidence of the same. Plaintiff sworn and examined as to the correctness of his claim against the Defendant — Now at this day on application of E. J. Griffith attorney for Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $28.25/100 amount sued for and the further sum of $24.70/100 costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States — Judgment for the principal — 28.25
		" costs fees — 10.00
		Constable & Publishing fees — 14.70
"		Total amount of Judgment — $52.95
		S. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 336.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack McDuiggin, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Const. McDonald
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the law Defendant pleaded guilty - and waiv the legal time for sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged thereof said offenses that you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	D. H. Hill J.P.
Sum. Page 62,	Cause Closed - The Court orders that the jury now go in charge of the proper officer of this Court - and view the premises now in litigation before the Court and jury -
"	The Court took a recess until 2. O'C. P.M. This day -
"	2. O'C. P.M. Parties in Court - jury all present - Cause argued for the respective parties - and Submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict; —
Aug. 21.	The jury after some length of time consumed in deliberation were returned into Court, stating to the Court that they could not agree upon a verdict - Whereupon the jury was discharged by the Court,

No. 337.

In the Justice's Court of Big Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

A Bombs, Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Winston, Defendant

Action account

Demand $96.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Gray

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"		Now comes the Defendant by his attorney W. S. Gray and confesses service of Summons together with a copy of the complaint filed herein in this action against him —
	18.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney W. S. Gray and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein —
"	23.	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
	24.	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"		Paid by Defendant in said action the sum of $40.00 Total $72.50
	27.	This cause settled in full and dismissed — Paid Const. $2.50 — Justice fee $8.00 — Def. Defendant $4.00 —

S. H. Hill.

No. 338.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno.

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Warren Hill -
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
June 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 22	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleades guilty and waives the legal time for sentence
	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Warren Hill be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $13.00/100 - Defendant at once paid said fine into Court, and thereupon discharged said Const. $10.00
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 339.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The Singer Manufacturing Company — Plaintiff,

vs.

L. F. Bloomington — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $24 00

Hines & Street — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	13	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court Winchell —
July	9	Summons returned without service & filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned & filed —
"	15	Plaintiff filed his affidavit to obtain an order
		of Publication of Summons —
"		Order for Publication of Summons made and filed —

No. 341.

In the Justice's Court of 13 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Herman Levy Plaintiff

vs.

E. A. Bowman Defendant

Demand $29.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 — PROCEEDINGS.

June 15. Complaint filed Summons issued & gen Plaintiff attorney affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & gen Court-Bond filed

July. 2. Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of June 1885 and filed July 2. 1885.

" Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed.

" " In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.

" In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered and that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Twenty Nine and 50/100 Dollars the principal sued for — and the further sum of Fourteen and 40/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in this action — in lawful money of the United States —

" Judgment for the Principal sued for — 29.50

" " " Justices fees — 8.50

" " " Constables " 5.90

" Total amount of judgment $43.90

S. K. Hill J. P. —

J. P. fees paid by Plaintiff

Appeal July 25th 1886 Judgment — Paid in full S. K. Hill J. P.

No. 341.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. L. Grady
Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Sharpe & Co.
Defendants

Action Note & Account

Demand $150.00 & Cos

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney
July 11.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed - J. P. Paid - S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 342.

In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Geo Hooper
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given affiant
"	22.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged — Cause set down for trial at 9 O'C. A.M June 23d 1885. Court allowed the defendant to appear on his own recognizance

No. 343.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

W. F. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

William Stenson, Defendant

Action account.

Demand $30.00/100

Grady & Monroe, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	18.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Winchell.
Aug	10.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Aug 1885. and filed
	11.	Defendant filed his affidavit asking a change of venue
	"	The Court order the venue to the Court of Justice Hunt in the said E Township Yuba County Cal
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 344

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wing Lee
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchill
Paid	19	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Wing Lee be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50, Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Const. $2.00 —
		S. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 345

In the Justice's Court of Ophir Township,

of the State of California, County of Placer

James Parsons, Plaintiff
vs.
Chester C. Burnett, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $108.70/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	20th	Complaint filed.
1886. June	19	Issued Summons for service on the Deft. and gave Court Mitchell.
July	1st	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of July 1886, and filed —
"	17th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with proof and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with proof and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired — and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered against the Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the sum of One Hundred and Eight and 70/100 — amount due for and the sum of $6.90 — Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the amount due for — 108.70
		" " Justice fee — paid — 4.50
		" " Constable " 2.40
		Total amount of Judgment — ~~[illegible]~~ — $115.60
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 346.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. Fowler, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Davidson & Ed. Bacon, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $32.60/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	22.	Complaint filed Summons issued and gin Court Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & gin Court Winchell
July	3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant, Davidson
		together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 24 day
		of June 1885 and filed —
		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
July	3.	In this action the Defendant Frank Davidson having been regularly
		served with process and having failed to appear and answer the
		Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by
		law for answering having expired the default of the said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered
		according to law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with
		process and having failed to appear and answer the
		Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for
		answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant
		in the premises having been duly entered according to law
		Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff &c it is
		ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the Defendant
		in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint
		herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
		and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum
		of Thirty two and 60/100 Dollars the amount sued on and the
		further sum of Fifteen and 80/100 Dollars costs necessarily
		incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal sued for — 32.60
		" " " Justice fee — 8.50
		" " Constable " 6.80
		Total amount of Judgment — $47.90

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 347.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jane Doe Matthews alias
Susan Matthews
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865		PROCEEDINGS.
June	22	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Wm Shill
paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
		her and of her rights before the law. Defendant
		pleades guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises
		aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said
		offense that you Susan Matthews be and you
		are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 — Defendant at once
		paid said fine and therefore discharged — Paid Const. $2.50
		D. H. Hill J.P.

76

No. 348

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Hung
Defendant

Action Maintaining an opium den
Demand $
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their right before the law Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for manic offence that you Ah Hung be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $60.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Const. $2.00
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 349

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Yee - Ah Ding - Ah Young
and Ah Yee
Defendants

Action visiting an opium den
for the purpose of smoking opium

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 23.	Complaint - filed warrant - issued & given Const - Winchell -
"	Defendants - in Court - and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law, Defendants plead guilty - as charged and waive the legal time for trial
paid "	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged - that for said offense that you the said defendant - be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $16.50 each of you - aggregating the sum of $26.00 - Defendants at once paid said fine and therefor his charge paid Const $1.00
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 3 5 7 1.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. Fombs Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Dorsen Defendant

Action Account

Demand $26.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 23	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Caron Kinchell
July 2.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2d day of July 1885 and filed —
" 7th	Caron paid in full and dismissed
	J. H. Hill Jr.

No. 351.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Eliza J. Hutchings Administratrix of the Estate of Wm. Hutchings Deceased
Plaintiff

vs.

William Greenfell
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.00/100

Sawyer & Sawyer
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Bird
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Ellis. 5th Township
"	26	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 25th day of June 1885 and filed this day
	30.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney H. S. Bird and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein
	"	Comes this action in full of payment of $48.10
		D. H. Hill

No. 352.

In the Justice's Court of Shire Township,
of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Schmitt, Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Bombach, Defendant

Action account

Demand $250.00/100

Dayh. Harris & Corritt, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 23	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
" 29.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 29th day of June 1885 corrected filed this day
July. 6.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
" 14	1. O. C. P. M. Plaintiff in court and sworn and examined as a witness as to defendants indebtedness to him the Plaintiff after which the cause was submitted to the court — The court having fully considered the same.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of One Hundred Eighty Dollars Principal and the sum of Five and 80/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal allowed 180.00
	" " " Justices fee — 4.00
	" " " Constables " 1.80
"	Total amount of judgment — $185.80
	D. H. Hill J.P.
aug. 15.	Execution issued & given the Plaintiff attorney —
21.	Execution returned Satisfied and Cause dismissed
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 353.

In the Justice's Court of Eluia Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Berger alias
Geo. Thompson
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court; Fanning -
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 3. O'C. P.M. this day June 24th 1885
"	3. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court - Defendant discharged upon the grounds that the evidence does not appear to show that the Defendant intended to steal -
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 354.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

William Pearce

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonell
Paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty as charged – Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. June 25th 1885 on his own recognizance

No. 355

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns & Michael Kennedy
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept Const. McDonell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Bar. Defendants plead guilty as charged. Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. June 25th 1885 on their own recognizance
Paid	

No. 356.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

A Kutner & S. Goldstein & Alex Goldstein parties doing business under the firm name of Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

N. S. Gilbert — Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.
Nov.	30.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 25th day of Nov 1885 — and filed —
Dec.	1.	Paid in full and dismissed —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 357

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Hiram Morrow, Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Ohehan, Defendant

Action account

Demand $24.90/100

E. E. Calhoun, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 26.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & gn Plaintiff attorney.
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & gn Plaintiff attorney.
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant herein with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of June 1885 — and filed —
	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
July 3.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of E. E. Calhoun attorney for Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff complaint on file herein —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twenty four and 90/100 Dollars and the further sum of Fourteen and 20/100 Dollars costs necessarily incurred in this action — in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal sued on — 24.90
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " Constables " 5.70
	Total amount of judgment — $39.10
	S. H. Hill J.P.
Aug. 31.	Issued Execution ogn Chas Ohehan

No. 358

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. Gomez, Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Grady, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $41.70/100

Suppe & Sappe, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June	26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Windell.
July	11	By order of Plaintiff's attorney this cause is dismissed. J. P. Smith
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 359.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thad Schell
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 29th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleades guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Thad Schell be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Cost $2.50
	S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 34.

In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Tool –
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 29	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. McBurnell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
	and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty
Paid	and waives the legal time for sentence –
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense
	that you be and you are hereby committed to the
	Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff
	imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a pe-
	riod of ten days –
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 361.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Sink Wah, Ah Joe, Lee Wah and Ah Charley
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
June 30	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Sink Wah and Ah Joe be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $5.00 each Defendants at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged and that you Lee Wah and Ah Charley be and you are each of you hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days each
paid	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 362.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Murphy, Michael Whalan and M. G. Taylor
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
June 30th		Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windhull
" 6/		Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants Michael Whalan and M. G. Taylor discharged upon the conditions that they at once leave the Town — Defendant John Murphy plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Murphy be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Gaol of said County for a period of fifty days if found in said Town of Tuolumne at any time within one year from this date June 30th 1885 — that said Commitment shall remain in full force and effect for said term of twelve months —
		S. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 363.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

S. W. Henry, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Medley, Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand $ 50.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell affidavit made and Undertaking on Replevin made and given Const Winchell
"	8	This action Credited by the sum of $50.00 per receipt of Plaintiff

No. 364.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Adolf Meitinch, Defendant

Action Battery -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Brown
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights he pleads Not
said	guilty as Charged - and says he is ready for trial - Witnesses sworn and examined - The Court after hearing the testimony and being fully Convinced the same Ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict.
	J. H. Hill J.P. -

No. 365

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action account

John Schmidt, Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Norman, Defendant

Demand $38.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	2.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Hinchee
"	6.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of July 1885 and filed —
"	14.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired. the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	22.	Defendant — have in this action the sum of $20.00 —
"	"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Eighteen Dollars the amount of the Principal remaining unpaid — and the sum of Eight and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action — in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment — for the Principal remaining unpaid — 18.00
		" " " Justice Fees — 5.00
		" " " Constable " 3.50
"		Total amount of judgment — $26.50
		D. H. Hill J. P. —
Aug. 6th 1885 —		Judgment — Paid in full. D. H. Hill
Aug.	5.	Issued Execution to Constable returnable in fifteen days —

No. 366.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Boon —
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads not guilty
paid	Cause set down for trial at 2. O'C. P. M. July 6th 1885 — Defendant held for his appearance in a Bond of $250.00
" 6	Cause continued until 1. O'C. P. M. this day — Issued Subpoena for the People —
"	1. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con Cause Submitted — The Court after hearing fully considered this cause from the testimony — found the Defendant guilty as charged — Defendant waived the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Wm. Boon be and and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County until said fine be paid at the rate of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —

S. R. Hill J.P.

No. 367

In the Justice's Court of Stud Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
A. B. Velarde
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny Second Offense

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 27th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Manning —
" 31st	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his examination —
Aug. 1st	This cause set down for examination at 2 O'C. P.M. Aug 10th 1885 — Subpoenas issued & given Const. —
" 11	Cause continued until 4 O'C. P.M. Aug 11th 1885 —
" "	4 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — W. S. Geary and L. Popenoe sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — People Closed —
"	M. L. Walsh and A. B. Velarde sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant — Defense Closed —
"	Cause submitted — The Court took the same under advisement until 9 O'C A.M. Aug 12th 1885 —
12.	9 O'C. A.M. Defendant in Court — This being the hour fixed for the finding of the Court based on the evidence introduced on the examination of said Defendant — It appearing to the Court that the evidence failed to connect the Defendant with a second offense as alleged in the Complaint on file herein — But the Court finds that the Defendant is guilty of the Crime of Petit Larceny — and orders that the District Attorney file in this Court a Complaint charging the said A. B. Velarde with the Crime of Petit Larceny

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 368.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Henry C. Meyer, Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Henry, Defendant

Action account

Demand $4.60/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 2.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff Tuolumne
" 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on 2d day of July 1885 and filed this day —
	Paid by Plaintiff the sum of $1.00 —
" 6.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —

No. 369.

In the Justice's Court of Co. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

H. Lawson, Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Henry, Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $3 32/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	2.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const Fresno
"	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2 day of July 1885 and filed —
		Paid by Plaintiff the sum of 30 cents —
"	8.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —

No. 371.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
George Davis
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Tuolumne

No. 371.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
A. B. McKay, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 3rd	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
Same	him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleades
	not guilty — Cause set down for trial July 7th 1885 at 2
	o.c. P.M. — Defendant held for appearance in a bond of $50.00
7	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendant —
"	Defendant demands trial by jury — venire issued —
"	2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial
	Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualifications, after
	which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause
	to wit: Geo. Bernhard — J. C. Welch — Wm. Harris — John Grady,
	M. S. Williams, J. M. Williams, N. G. Griffith, E. H. Patterson Wm. Rogers
	W. H. Chance O. P. Wilson —
	B. J. Boling and Wm. Boling sworn and examined as
	witnesses for the prosecution after which the People Rests —
"	A. B. McKay and J. H. Baly sworn and examined as
	witnesses for the Defendant. Defence Rests —
	after argument of Counsell for the respective parties the
	Court has given the jury for their deliberation
	and verdict — after a short retirement the jury
	were returned into Court by the proper officer of
	this Court with the following verdict to wit:
	We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. O. P. Wilson
	foreman — Jury and Defendant discharged —
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 372.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Laurence, Chas. Martin and James Cardoly
Defendant

Action Bagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	3rd	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const Frazer —
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty is charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. July 6th 1885 on their own recognizance
Paid		

No. 373.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Imperatrice, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	6	Complaint filed. Warrant issued again Defendant Kinchell —
	7	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Defendant held for trial in a bond of Fifty Dollars —
paid		
	"	Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C. P.M. July 9th 1885 —
	9.	2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial witnesses sworn and examined on both sides and cause submitted — The Court after hearing the evidence and having fully considered the same finds that the Defendant is guilty — Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O'C. A.M. — July 10th 1885 —
	11.	9 O'C A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises said it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Charles Imperatrice be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.50 — Defendant at once paid said fine with Court and therefore discharged — Paid Court $6.25 —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 374.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

L. S. Gary Jr. — Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Harley — Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	6th	Complaint filed - Summons issued - affidavit made

No. 375.

In the Justice's Court of Stud Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

L. A. Clark
Plaintiff

vs.

William Roff
Defendant

Action account

Demand $132.50/100

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	6th	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Chas Ellis
"		affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Ellis —
"	8th	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein in the 5th Township on the 7th day of July 1885 — and filed this day —
		Writ of attachment executed returned & filed —

No. 376.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joaquin Lamoth Jack Lamoth and Henry Pero — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	6	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Court McDonnell
"	8	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
paid		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 each Defendants at once paid said fine into Court and therefore discharged Paid Costs $6.00
		D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 377.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Chris Klemburg, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell
	9.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. The Court after hearing the Circumstances under which said Chris Klemburg was arrested ordered that he be discharged —
Dismissed		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 378.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Louis Einstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Ray White — Defendant

Action account

Demand $12.90/100.

Suppes & Suppes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	7.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Windell —
Aug.	24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 5th day of Aug 1885 & filed this day —
"	26.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law; Now at this day on application of Suppes & Suppes attorneys for said Plaintiffs, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twelve and 90/100 dollars the principal sum and for the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawfull money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal — 12.90
		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " " Constables " 2.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $19.40
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 379.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of hand

Eggers etc — Plaintiff

vs.

S. C. Swazey — Defendant

Demand $ 30.00/100

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	8	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney.
	9	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of July 1885 and filed this day
"	18	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Thirty-Dollars the Principal and the sum of Five and 65/100 the interest thereon and the further sum of Eighty-10/100 Dollars — Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action in Lawful Money of the U. S. —
	"	Judgment for the Principal — 30.00
		" " " Interest thereon — 5.65
		" " " Justices fees — Paid — 4.50
		" " " Constables " 3.60
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $43.75
		S. H. Hill J.P.
1887. Jan 24.		Issued Execution — to Geo E. Calhoun Atty for Plff.

No. 3 80

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court Windell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. July 9th 1885 —
	"	Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant —
	9.	10 O.C. A.M. — Defendant in Court and ready for trial Witnesses sworn and examined on both sides of this issue — and submitted — The Court after hearing the testimony and being fully advised the same orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence insufficient to convict —

J. H. Hill J.P.

Bonds

No. 381.

In the Justice's Court of Shid Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Bradley & Thomas Rice & Julius & W. H. Standefer, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade
Pd	9.	Defendant Thomas Rice in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant held to answer for examination in a bond of $1000.00
	25.	Defendant W. H. Standefer in Court and after an examination. Cause set down for examination at 2 O.C. P.M. this day Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500.00 Bond approved and filed
"		2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination Joseph Blight W. Webb, John Wild Lewis Hahn after which the People rest
		Thomas Rice W. H. Standefer Sworn and examined as witness for the Defense after which the Defense Counsel Cause argued and submitted pro and con The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully considered the same ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to hold the Defendant. D. H. Hill J.P.
"	28.	The People against Thomas Rice set down for Examination at 1 O.C. P.M. July 29th 1885. Issued Subpoena for the People
"	29.	The Examination of this Cause continued until 10 O.C. P.M. July 31st 1885
"	31st	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. John Wild Sworn and examined as a witness for the People. After which on Motion of the Defendant this Cause is continued until 2 O.C. P.M. Aug the 4th 1885
Aug.	4.	2 O.C. P.M. after waiting one full hour and a half. Cause dismissed for want of prosecution without prejudice to the people D. H. Hill

No. 382

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Dan Maloney, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 9	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads not guilty
" 6	

No. 383.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Him
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Haugh & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads Not-
Panic		Defendant held to answer for trial in a bond of $250.00. Cause set down for trial at 2.00 P.M. July 14th 1885.
"	13.	Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged. Leave granted. Defendant waives the legal time for trial. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Him be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged. Paid Court $14.50.

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 384

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Bellon, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to commit murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Meade
27	Cause set down for trial Aug 5th 1885 at 10 o'clk A.M.
	Issued subpoena for the People and Defendant;
28.	On motion of the District attorney this cause is dismissed without prejudice to the People.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

Paid

No. 385

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Brown

Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const McBride
"	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500.00 bond approved and filed —
Paid	27.	Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug 4th 1885 —
Aug.	4.	2. O.C. P.M. Cause Continued by Consent of Defendant without day fixed —
Oct.	1st	On Motion of the District Atty. this Cause is dismissed Defendant paying Costs. — Amt. $6.50 —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 386.

In the Justice's Court of Fruit Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John McDougal, Joe Ganon — Ed. Smith, H. A. Green, J. E. Glenn, Frank Burrell, Jo Phillips and Jas McMannis — Defendant

Action Driving about — horses of Mr. Fann —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —
	10	Defendants John McDougal and Joe Ganon in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waive the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you John McDougal and Joe Ganon be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $6.50 each — Defendants at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged —
"	11.	Defendants H. A. Green, J. E. Glenn, and Ed Smith in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.50 each and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of 6½ days each, in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	11.	Defendants Frank Burrell, Joe Phillips and Jas. McMannis in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as Charged — and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you the said last named Defendants be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $6.50 each — Defendants at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Court — Fees $17.80 —

E. H. Hill J.P. —

Paid

Paid

Paid

No. 387.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

S. A. Trautman & Son
Plaintiffs

vs.

C. C. Burnett
Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $ 56.12/100

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	15	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff.
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff.

No. 388

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Heflin
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July 11.		Complaint filed Warrant issued & given affiant —
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law

No. 389.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Webber & Fred Johnson
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const McDonald. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. July 13th 1885. on their own recognizance
"	

No. 3 9 11.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Brad Conway
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Defdt. Court McDonald Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleades guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Brad Conway be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of thirty days.
" "	"	
"		
		S. K. Hill J. P.

No. 391.

Justice's Court of ..... Township.

State of California,

COUNTY OF ..... } ss.

I am and was at all times mentioned, a male resident of ..... being duly sworn, deposes and says: over the age of twenty-one years, and not a party to the above-entitled action; that on the ..... day of ..... A. D. 188...., in the County of ....., State of California, I personally served the Summons issued in the above-entitled action, which is hereto annexed and made a part hereof, on .....

.....

the Defendant.... therein named, personally known to me to be the Defendant.... therein named, by then and there delivering to said .....

personally a copy of said Summons, and that a copy of the Complaint in the above-entitled action was attached to a copy of the Summons delivered to the Defendant.... at the same time and place as aforesaid.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this ..... day of ..... 188....

.....

No. .....

Docket ..... Page .....

Justice's Court,

..... Township,

County of .....

.....

vs.

.....

Original Summons.

Rec'd .....

I hereby certify that I have served the within Summons by delivering a true copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint filed herein on .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

..... *resident* ..... *of the County of* ....., *the within-named Defendant*...., *personally, at the County of* ....., *this* ..... *day of* ..... *188*....

.....

Constable.

Filed ..... *188*....

.....

Justice of the Peace of said Township.

.....

Attorney ..... *for Plaintiff*.....

State of California,

..... *Township,* } ss.

County of .....

Justice's Court,

The People of the State of California, to the Sheriff or any Constable of said County:

You are hereby commanded to arrest the within-named Defendant .....

.....

.....

and bring ..... *before me forthwith to answer the Plaintiff's Complaint filed.*

Given under my hand this ..... *day of* ..... *A. D. 188*....

.....

Justice of the Peace.

I hereby certify that I have served the above order, by arresting and bringing into Court the said .....

.....

.....

this ..... *day of* ..... *A. D. 188*...., *at* ..... *o'clock,* ..... *M., and that I have notified the Plaintiff*..... *thereof.*

.....

Constable.

No. 3 9 a.

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Louis Einstein & Co

Plaintiff

vs.

Silas Ruth

Defendant

Original Summons.

C. C. P. Sec. 844.

The People of the State of California send Greeting to

Silas Ruth

DEFENDANT:

You are Hereby Required *to appear in an action brought against you by the above-named Plaintiff, in the Justice's Court of* Said third *Township, County of* Fresno, *State of California, and to answer before the Justice at his office in the said Township, the Complaint filed therein,* this day & date *within five days (exclusive of the day of service), after the service on you of this Summons —if served within the Township in which this action is brought; or, if served out of said Township, but in said County, within ten days; or within twenty days if served elsewhere.*

The said action is brought to recover of Jan the sum of Seventy eight 08/100 Dollars principal with interest thereon at the rate of one and one quarter per cent per month from July 1st 1883 in U S gold coin as per Jan promissory note to plff of date Nov 10th 1883 due on day of its date

as more fully appears by the Complaint on file herein to which you are referred.

And you are hereby notified that if you fail to so appear and answer said Complaint, as above required, said Plaintiff will

Make legal service and due return hereof.

Given *under my hand this* day of 188.

Justice of the Peace of said Township.

No. 391.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

L. Einstein & Co
Plaintiff,

vs.

Silas Ruth
Defendant.

Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment against Resident.

C. C. P., Sects. 866, 510.

State of California,
COUNTY OF Fresno } ss.

being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he is One of the Plaintiffs in the action above named; that the Defendant above named is indebted to to said firm the said Plaintiffs, that is to say: in the sum of $ 78.18 Dollars, with interest, etc., over and above all legal set-offs and counter-claims, upon an Express contract for the direct payment of money, to wit: On a certain promissory note and that such contract was made and is payable in this State, and that the payment of the same has not been secured by any mortgage or lien on real or personal property, or any pledge of personal property.

And this deponent further says that the sum for which the attachment is asked in this cause, that is to say, the amount of indebtedness which is above stated, is an actual, bona fide, existing debt, due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff, and that the attachment is not sought, and the action is not prosecuted to hinder, delay or defraud any creditor or creditors of the said Defendant.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 14th day of July 1889.

Henry Gundelfinger

Olin Walcott
Justice of the Peace of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno, State of California.

L. Einstein & Co
vs.
Silas Ruth

Undertaking for Attachment.

Whereas, an attachment against the property of the above named Defendant has been this day demanded, and is about to issue; NOW, THEREFORE, WE, the undersigned, do undertake on the part of the above-named Plaintiff in the sum of $ 50.00, that if the Defendant recovers judgment, the said Plaintiffs will pay all costs that may be awarded to the Defendant and all damages he may sustain by reason of the said attachment, not exceeding $ 50.00 above specified.

WITNESS our hands and seals in the County of Fresno, on the 14th day of July A. D. 1889.

Louis Einstein & Co SEAL
J. L. Rhodes SEAL
Henry Gundelfinger SEAL

No. 3 9 a.

State of California,
COUNTY OF Placer } ss.

J. G. Rhodes and Henry Gundelfinger sureties in the within undertaking, being duly sworn, say, each for himself, and not one for the other, that he is worth the sum specified in the said undertaking, over and above all his just debts and liabilities (exclusive of property exempt from execution), and that he is a resident within the State of California, and Placer holder therein.

J. G. Rhodes SEAL

Henry Gundelfinger SEAL

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 14th day of Feby 1889.

Orrin Walcott
Justice of the Peace of said Township.

No. .....
Docket ..... Page .....

Justice's Court,
3rd Township,
County of Placer

L. Einstein
Plaintiff,
vs.
Lucas Cash
Defendant.

Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment.

Filed ..... 188...

Justice of the Peace of said Township.

Attorney ..... for .....

No. 391.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of Hand

Petronich & Barbara Plaintiff

vs.

B Jelisk Defendant

Demand $ 500 00

Suppe & Suppe
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court. Min. shall
"	13.	now carry the Plaintiff and order that this cause be
		dismissed — and pay costs — J Smith.
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 392.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. S. Rankin
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Braly
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $100.00

Guppy & Guppy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Minor & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given to Const. Fresno
"	13.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of July, 1885, and filed this day —
"	17.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Minor & Church and files his Demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein.
Aug	5th.	By consent of Parties this cause is set down for trial at 9 O'C. A. M. August 18th 1885 —
"		Cause passed in full and dismissed

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 393.

In the Justice's Court of Selma Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Peter Hannan
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 11.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Deft. Const. McBride
13.	& defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleades guilty as charged & waives the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is adjudged and adjudged that for said offense that you Peter Hannan be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five and one half days —
paid	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 394.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Sam Lee — Defendant

Action Keeping a gaming House

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court — Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant gives bond in the sum of $200.00 for his appearance for trial —
" 14.	This Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. July 15th 1885 —
" 16.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination
	D. B. Winchell C. H. Moore, G. H. McDonell & Jeff Jones — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution rested —
	Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — and ready to proceed —
	Sam Lee — Sworn and examined in his own behalf before Closed — Winchell in rebuttal by Prosecution —
	Cause argued and submitted. Court took the same under advisement —
20.	The Court having fully considered this matter — Now orders that the Defendant be discharged on the ground that evidence is insufficient to hold the Defendant to answer —
	D. H. Hill Jr. P.

No. 395.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Gaming at Jan

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Poe —

Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

July. 13. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Windhill

" 14. Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C.P.M. July 15th 1885 —
Defendant demands trial by jury Venire issued —

" 15. 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court venire returned and filed. Parties ready to proceed — Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which by consent of parties the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit: S. B. Brace, J. C. Webb, John Dennis, Chas Lawson, Alex Goldstein, Ike Bernstein, John Albin Herman Levy & M. A. Blade —
Witnesses on both sides put under the rule of the Court. —
C. W. Brace, G. W. McDowell & L. B. Windhill — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — after which the Prosecution closed — Defense waived the introduction of any testimony — after argument of Counsel — pro & con. the cause was submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after a retirement of three hours were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court without a verdict stating that they could not agree as to a verdict in this action — after which the jury were discharged —

Panel

16. This cause is set down for trial at 9 O.C.A.M. July 17th 1885 —
Defendant demands trial by jury — venire issued & in Sheriff's hands —

July. 20th Cause dismissed on motion of the District Attorney —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 396.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Kung, Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Bay, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Windell
" 17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty — as charged — By Consent this Cause set down for trial — at 9. O.C. A.M. July 18th 1885. Defendant demanded trial by jury — Service issued & given Sheriff
" 20.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District attorney —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 397.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Chung
Defendant

Action Running at Large

Demand $

J. H. Day
Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 21.	Now comes W. D. Duppa and enters the plea of not guilty in the absence of the Defendant Ah Chung and by Consent this Cause is set down for trial at 9 O'C. A. M. July 21st 1885 — Defendant by his attorney Duppa Duppa demands trial by jury — Venire issued & given Const. or Sheriff —
" 21.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District Attorney —

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 398.

In the Justice's Court of C. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Dong
Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Culy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Windhill
" 20.	Cause dismissed on motion of the district attorney
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 399.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Hong
Defendant

Action Gaming at Bar

Demand $

J. H. Burke
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Winchell
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 400.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Gee —

Defendant

Action Gaming at Bar

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
"	20.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney
		J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 401

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah King
Defendant

Action Gaming at Jan

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Windhill
" 20.	Cause dismissed On Motion of the District attorney —
	J. H. Hill — J.P.

No. 402

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chee -

Defendant

Action Gaming at Bar

Demand $

J. H. Baugh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Winchell
"	21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney -
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 443.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Pay
Defendant

Action Gaming at Jan

Demand $

J. H. Boles
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Fine & costs.
" 21.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District Attorney -
	S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 404.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim
Defendant

Action Gaming at Fan

Demand $

J. H. Duly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 405

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim -

Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Bay
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windhill
" 21	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney -
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 446.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Sin

Defendant

Action Gaming a law

Demand $

J. H. Wells
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Fine $100
" 21	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 407.

In the Justice's Court of Fu Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lung
Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Beck
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kinchele
21	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 408.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chuning
Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court- Wm Hill
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 4119.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lum —

Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Burg
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court - Windhill.
" 17.	Defendant in court, and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged - and waives the legal time for sentence and wishes to be sentenced now. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Lum be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $100 Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged - Basic Court, Etc.
paid	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 411.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Jew
Defendant

Action Gaming a tin

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint - Warrant - Issued & given Court - Windhill
" 21	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District attorney
	E. A. Hill J.P.

No. 411.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Gaming at Faro

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung

Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Bus
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued & given Court - Warrant
" 20.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District Attorney
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 412.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Harby
Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Jur Cause. Writ Issued
" 21.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District Attorney
	J. H. Hill
	J. P.

No. 413.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Jack
Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Hill
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 414.

In the Justice's Court of 3° Township,

of the State of California, County of Green

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Gee —
Defendant

Action Gaming at Tan

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & gen Court Findings
20	Cause dismissed on motion of the District atty —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 415.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lee
Defendant

Action Gaming at law

Demand $

J. H. Budd
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Agree Court made.
" 14.	Defendant Ah Lee filed his bond in the sum of $100 for his appearance for trial — bond approved —
"	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 416.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Hee
Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint - filed Warrant issued & given Cons. Kincaid
" 21	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District attorney -
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 417.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Charly, Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Stang, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hindall
" 20	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney —
	J. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 418.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Ding
Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. Fin. Duce
" Pass 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 419.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Him, Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Baz, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	13.	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued & given Const - Winchell.
	17.	Defendant - in Court; and informed of the Charge against
Paid		him and of his rights - before the Case Defendant -
		plead guilty - and waiv the legal time for
		Sentence and asked to be sentenced Now -
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises
		aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for
		said offence that you Ah Him be and you are
		hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 - Defendant at
		once paid said fine and thereupon discharged -
		Paid Const - $6.00 — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 424

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Woe —

Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell —
" 20	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 421

In the Justice's Court of 3° Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Ring
Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Bay
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windhell
" " 18.	Defendant filed his bond for the sum of $100 for his appearance for trial at the option of the Court —
" 21.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 422.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung, Defendant

Action Gaming at Tan

Demand $

J. H. Bay, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant gave Bond in the sum of $100.00 for his appearance for trial.
" 21.	Cause dismissed on Motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 423.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lect, Defendant

Action Gaming at Law

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Tim Chell.
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his right before the law. Defendant gave Bond in the sum of $100 for his appearance for trial.
" 21	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 424.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Wing
Defendant

Action Gaming at Faro

Demand $

J. H. Duby
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. Handcuff
" 18.	Defendant filed his bond in the sum of $100 for his appearance for trial when demanded —
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 425

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Ging
Defendant

Action Gaming at law.

Demand $

J. H. Berg
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Windell
" 21	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 426.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Lewe -
Defendant

Action Gaming at law

Demand $

J. H. Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Mitchell
" 21.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District attorney
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 427.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Maloney, Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1885	
14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Windhill
Aug. 21st.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug the 24th 1885. Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of $500. Bond approved and filed.
24.	This cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug. 28th 1885. Issued Subpoena for the People.
27.	Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Aug the 28th 1885.
28th	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. and says he is ready for examination. G. H. McDonell and Nellie Thompson sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Closed. Defendant sworn and made statement. Cause closed and submitted to the Court. The Court after having fully considered the same. and it appearing to me that the offense in the deposition mentioned has been committed. and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Thomas Maloney guilty thereof. It is ordered that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Seven Hundred Dollars. and is committed to the Sheriff of the ~~Sheriff~~ of the County of Fresno until he gives such bond. Dated this Aug. 28th 1885.

D. H. Hill J.P.

156

No. 428.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William Wilson, Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

July. 14. Complaint filed Warrant issued & give Court - E. A. Ellis
" " Defendant - in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Cause Defendant for Examination at 2 O'C. P. M. July 18th 1885 - issued Subpoena for the People & gave Court - Ellis -
18 Subpoena returned and filed -
" " 2. O'C. P. M. Defendant - in Court - and says his is ready for examination - Westly Hazelton and Henry Hazelton Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution Close -
" Defendant - Wm Wilson Sworn and made a Statement - Cause Submitted - The Court - after having fully Considered the evidence and It appearing to me that the offence in the deposition on file herein - mentioned - has been Committed - and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Wilson guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo. And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $500.00 - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail -

J. H. Hill J.P.

" Handed the District attorney the Papers in this action -

No. 429.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Peterson
Defendant

Action Burglary in the Night time

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his examination
21.	Issued Subpoena for the Prosecution & Defen. Court.
"	Cause set down for examination at 2 O.C. P.M. July 21st 1885
"	2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination A. Mitchell. F. Valdivia and Wm Fagan Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closed —
"	Defendant Made a Statement in his own behalf after which the Defense Closed —
"	The Cause Submitted. The Court — after hearing fully Considered the Same and It appearing to me that the offense mentioned in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed, and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendant George Peterson guilty thereof — & Order that he be held to answer to the Same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five hundred Dollars — and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail — J. H. Hill J.P. —
"	Handed the District Atty. the papers in this action —

No. 430

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Morris
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Defendant — Defendant — Discharged — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 431

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. G. Brimlead
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Constable M. Bennett
" 15	Defendant discharged upon the grounds of insanity
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 432.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Peter Dinelli
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

H. A. Gruely
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff —
" 16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant made his bond for is his appearance for trial in the sum of $100. Bond approved and filed —

No. 433.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Thomas Hilderth, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff —
October 5	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead not guilty — Cause by consent set down for trial at 10 o'c. A.M. November 5th 1885; Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $50.00 —

No. 434.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of Hand.

Washington Armstrong Plaintiff

vs.

M. F. Vergara Defendant

Demand $65.00/100

Dazh. Harris & Cavitt
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
"		approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's atty.
Aug.	11"	Summons returned showing personal service on the
		defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on
		file herein on the 8th day of Aug. 1885, and filed this day.
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed.
"	29"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly
		served with process and having failed to appear and
		answer the plaintiff's Complaint filed herein, and the time
		allowed by law for answering having expired the default
		of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly
		entered according to law.
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly
		served with process and having failed to appear and
		answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein, and the
		legal time for answering having expired and the
		default of the said Defendant in the premises having
		been duly entered according to law. Now at this day
		on application of Dazh. Harris & Cavitt. Attorney for
		Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered
		herein against the said defendant in accordance
		with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein.
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
		do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum
		of $65.00 the Principal and the sum of $5.85 the interest thereon to
		the further sum of $18.50 costs necessarily incurred in this
		action in lawful money of the United States.
"		Judgment for the Principal sum on. 65.00
		" " " Interest thereon 5.85
		" " " Justice fee 8.50
		" " " Constable " 10.00
"		Total amount of Judgment $ 89.35

S. H. Hill. J.P.

Execution returned satisfied in full this 7th day of Sept. 1885. S. H. Hill J.P.

Appeal to Superior Court. Gen. Court. Appeal filed Aug. 29th 1885.

No. 435

In the Justice's Court of Shirley Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

E. Polkinhorn
Defendant

Action Shooting, wounding & murdering one Jesse Ballard

Demand $

J. H. Beck & M. S. Kinsley
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

Aug. 1

"

Aug.

"

What is this? Church or lodge?

BEN R. WALKER
HISTORICAL COLLECTION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

LAVAL COMPANY
COMMERCIAL PHOTOGRAPHERS
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

No. 434.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Washington Armstrong, Plaintiff

vs.

M. F. Vergara, Defendant

Action Note of Hand.

Demand $65.00/100

Zagh. Harris & Cavitt, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
"		approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Pl's atty.
Aug.	11"	Summons returned showing personal service on the
		defendant Fog[illegible] the Complaint on
		file herein on the [illegible] and filed this day.
"		Writ of attach[illegible] returned and filed.
"	29"	In this action [illegible] been regularly
		served with pro[illegible] failed to appear and
		answer the plai[illegible] herein, and the time
		allowed by law [illegible] having expired. the default
		of said defen[illegible] is hereby duly
		entered acc[illegible]
"		In this action [illegible] been regularly
		served with pr[illegible] failed to appear and
		answer the [illegible] herein, and the
		legal time fo[illegible] having expired and the
		default of the [illegible] in the premises having
		been duly en[illegible]. Now at this day
		on application of Zagh. Harris & Cavitt. attorney for
		Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered
		herein against the said defendant in accordance
		with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein.
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
		do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum
		of $65.00 the Principal and the sum of $5.85 the interest thereon to
		the further sum of $18.50 costs necessarily incurred in this
		action in lawful money of the United States.
"		Judgment for the Principal sum on. 65.00
		" " " Interest thereon 5.85
		" " " Justice fee 8.50
		" " " Constable " 10.00
"		Total amount of Judgment $ 89.35

B. H. Hill. J.P.

Received in satisfaction of Judgment this 29th day of Aug. 1885. B. H. Hill J.P.

Appeal to Superior Court. Appeal Bond filed Aug. 29th 1885.

No. 435

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

E. Polkinhorn
Defendant

Action Shooting, wounding & murdering one James Ballent

Demand $

P. K. Beely & M. S. Kneely
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

Judg. Pay

"

Ang

"

LAVAL COMPANY
COMMERCIAL PHOTOGRAPHERS
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

162

No. 434.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of Hand.

Washington Armstrong Plaintiff

vs.

M. F. Vergara Defendant

Demand $65.00/100

Zagh. Harris & Cavitt
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

White Temple
5-6-24

LAVAL COMPANY, INC.
COMMERCIAL PHOTOGRAPHERS
314 N. VAN NESS
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
PHONE 2-5131

DATE.		
July.	Summons returned Satisfied Before J. H. Hill J.P.	
Aug.	Appeal Bond filed	
"	action in lawful money of the United States—	
"	Judgment for the Principal and on.	65.00
	" " " Interest thereon—	5.85
	" " " Justice fees—	8.50
	" " " Constable "	10.00
"	Total amount of Judgment	$ 89.35

J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 435.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Sacramento

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

E. Poltkinchorn, Defendant

Action Shooting Wounding & murdering one James Ballent

Demand $

J. H. Beely & W. S. Grady, Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Gray, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —
" 27.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Case Cause set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. Aug. 5th 1885 —
"	Issued Subpoena for the People and Defendant —
Aug. 3.	Cause continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Aug. 10th 1885 —
	Issued Subpoenas for People — & gave Cost:
" 10th	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for trial — The Court appointed J. W. Harlan Short-hand reporter for the Cause — On Motion of the District Attorney W. S. Grady is associated as Counsel for the Prosecution.
"	James Ballon. Sworn as a witness for the prosecution and refused to answer questions upon the grounds that the answers to the questions asked him would most likely implicate himself —
	Now Comes the District Attorney & moved by W. S. Grady and moved the Court to dismiss this Cause upon the the grounds that he has no evidence sufficient to convict the Defendant — The Court after hearing fully Considered the Same — Orders that the defendant be discharged —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 436.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

C. A. Owens — Plaintiff

vs.

Fred Bartlett — Defendant

Action Note & Grand

Demand $153.42/100

Grady & Newman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plfs. attorney —
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plfs. attorney
"	21.	This cause paid in full and dismissed —
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 437.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. A. Owen, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Pool, Defendant

Action Note & Lien

Demand $120.00/100

Gray & Merriam, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	15	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Pltf's Attorneys. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Pltf's Attorneys.
	24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of July 1885 and filed this day —
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed this day —
Aug.	3	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law having expired the default of the said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
	"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Gray & Merriam Attorneys for Plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's complaint on file herein —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of One Hundred and Twenty Dollars the principal sued for and the sum of Twelve and 25/100 Dollars interest thereon — and the further sum of Twelve Dollars costs necessarily expended and pres. acc. fees in the prosecution of this action, all in lawful money of the United States —

Judgment for the Principal — 120.00

" " " Interest thereon — 12.25

" " " Attorneys fee — 25.00

" " " Filing fee — 8.50

" " " Constable " — 6.50

Total amount of judgment — $172.25

D. H. Hill J.P.

1885 - June 14th Appeal this execution

1886 - June 1st " Appeal execution — Satisfied of judgment

No. 438.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Louis Einstein & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

John A. Silva — Defendant

Action Note of hand —

Demand $68.50

Duffee & Duffee
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	16	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Sheriff March
		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Sheriff March —
Sept.	8	Summons returned without service Defendant not found & filed
	"	Writ of attachment returned without service —

No. 439.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
M. G. Trinidad
Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 16.	Affidavit made by O. J. Mack Warrant issued returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell Superior Judge in and for Tuolumne County — J. H. Hill J. P.
Paid	

No. 441.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

A. S. Goldstein, Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Mason, Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 16	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
	J. N. for Plaintiff —

No. 441

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Indian Jim –

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 1/8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald Defendant – Dis charged – J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 442.

In the Justice's Court of Flume Township,
of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Book Account

J. Sneglian & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

John J. Cole — Defendant

Demand $92.75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 18.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell —
" 22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 18th day of July 1885 — and filed —
	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
27.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein. and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Ninety two and 75/100 the principal sum for — and the sum of $ costs necessarily incurred in this action in behalf thereof.
"	Judgment for the Principal sum for — 92.75
	" " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " Constables " 7.20
	Total amount of Judgment — $108.45
	J. H. Hill J.P.
"	J.P. fees paid by Pltff.

No. 443

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. W. De Long, Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Willson, Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand $41.68/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court - Winchell affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court - Winchell -
	22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 22d day of July 1885, and filed —
		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —

No. 444

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Brown — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Lamith & George Strode — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $76.00/100

George H. Merriam — Attorney for Plaintiff

Haight, Harris & Carith — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 21.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney.
" 22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of July 1885 and filed.
" 27.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Haight, Harris & Carith and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint herein filed.
" 29.	Demurrer set down for argument at 9 o'c. A.M. July 30th 1885.
" "	Defendants file their offer to allow judgment for $52.00 to be taken against them by the Plaintiff in this action.
" 30.	9 O'c. P.M. Parties in Court. Demurrer submitted and overruled.
"	Cause set down for trial at 2 O'c. P.M. Aug. 3. 1885.
"	Now comes the Defendants by their attorney Haight, Harris & Carith and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's complaint contained.
Aug 3.	2 O'c. P.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial both parties waive trial by jury.
	William Brown & C. H. Duell sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. — Geo. Strode sworn and examined for the defense. Cause closed & submitted. The Court having fully considered the same.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant a judgment for the sum of Sixty five dollars principal and the sum of Twelve and 80/100 cost of suit, necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Coupal County of the United States.
"	Judgment for the Principal recovered — 65.00
	" " " Justices fee — 7.00
	" " " Constable " 5.60
	Witness C. H. Duell. 1 day 1 mile — 2.20
"	Total amount of Judgment — $79.80

J. H. Hill J.P.

Aug. 4. 1885 Received payment and satisfaction in full of the within judgment.
Haight & Harris
Attys at Law

No. 445

In the Justice's Court of Big Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Davis
Defendant

Action Burglary in the day time

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Constable McDonnell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant committed to the Sheriff to await examination —
22	This cause set down for examination at 2 O'C. P. M. July 22d 1885
"	2 O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination — William Ennis — sworn and examined for the People — Prosecution closed — Defendant sworn and made a statement. Cause submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — and it appearing to me that the offense mentioned above has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Defendant John Davis guilty thereof. Order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne — and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $500 — and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he gives such Bail —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 446

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Merced

E. J. King — Plaintiff

vs.

L. C. Anderson & Monial Anderson alias Thurs Eline Anderson — Defendants

Action account

Demand $60.00/100

Dayle & Harris & Carritt — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & gen. Sept. Court Merced
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & gen. Sept. Court Merced
" 31.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants L. C. Anderson and Thurs Eline Anderson his wife together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of July 1885: and filed this day
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned & filed —
Aug. 4.	In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of Dayle Harris & Carritt attorneys for Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the the said Defendants the sum of Sixty Dollars amount sued for — and the sum of Eighteen 10/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
	Judgment for the principal sued for — 60.00
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constables " 9.60
"	Total amount of Judgment — $ 78.10

D. W. Hill J. P.

Issued Execution Oct. 14th 1885 — and gen. Sept. Attorneys —

Aug. 4th 1885. Abstract of Judgment under March & Hamilton

No. 447.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
W. J. Cisco
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Tuolumne
25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. J. Cisco be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $12.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twelve days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 448.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note of Hand

Delos Swain
Plaintiff
vs.
Ephram Moore
Defendant

Demand $22.05/100

J. G. Black
Attorney for Plaintiff
Dazh Harris & Cavin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. E. B. Ellis —
"	affidavit for attachment. Made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. E. B. Ellis —
" 27.	Summons returned showing Personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of July 1885. and filed this day —
"	Writ of attachment executed and filed this day —
" 28	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Dazh Harris & Cavin and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein —
Aug. 27.	This Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Sept. 5th 1885. — Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & given Const. Ellis —
" 31.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & given Const. Ellis.
Sept. 2.	Subpoenas returned and filed —
" 5.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — Demurrer argued submitted and overruled — Defendant Excepts — Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Sept. 12th 1885 — Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & given Defendant's Counsel —
" 12.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and again tried by jury — Parties ready to proceed — Delos Swain, J. E. Burnett and D. D. Knight sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Note offered in evidence by Plaintiff. Defendant objects — objection overruled — Defendant Excepts —
"	Ephram Moore sworn and examined for the defense after which evidence closed and Cause submitted. The Court after having fully considered this matter. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twenty two and 05/100 Dollars the Principal Debt for and the further sum of Thirty five and 45/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of said action, and for the sum of Ten Dollars attorney fees — in Gold Coin of the United States — See Page 177.

No. 449.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
George Hedrick - Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. C. M. Brown
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant pleads guilty as charged - Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. July 25th 1885 on his own recognizance -
Sum.		
Page 176	"	Judgment for the Principal sum for — 22.05
		" " " Justices Fees — 13.95
		" " " Constable " 10.10
		" " " Attorney " 10.00
		Witness J. E. Burnette - 2. days and 26. Miles 9.20
		" S. D. Wright - 1 - " — — — 2.20
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $67.50
		S. H. Hill J.P.
	"	Defendant allowed a stay of proceeding for two days -
	"	Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill - $35.45/100 -
	"	Defendant files his — of Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court.
Sept.	15	Plaintiff files his notice of Exception to sufficiency of Sureties on his undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court -
"	18	Stipulation filed this day -
"	23.	Parties in Court - and by Consent - of Parties Defendants - Bondsmen justified & Bond approved -
Oct.	19.	Handed the Clerk of the Superior Court a Transcript and the papers on file in this action -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 452.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. O'Brien, James Ward, John Murphy & Richard Doe
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Court C. W. Brown
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O'C. A.M. July 25th 1885. On their own Recognizance —

No. 451

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

George Madden
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const. McDonald.
" 27.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law
"	after examining the Law the Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the Defendant has been sufficiently punished —
	S. H. Hill, J. P.

180

No. 452

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Mansfield
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	25	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deft. Const. McDonell
July	28	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty — as charged —

No. 453.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
James Ballou, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baty, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 28th	Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade.
Cont'd	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the law. Defendant asked time to consult his Counsel who is now absent. Time allowed. Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await an examination.
Aug. 5.	Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Aug. 10th 1885.
"	Issued Subpoenas for the People.
" 11.	11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Cause continued until 2 O.C. P.M. this day.
" "	2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination. The Court appointed J. W. Gearhart Shorthand reporter for this action.
"	G. H. Burrell, J. J. Bower, Louis Leach, Samuel Ralston, E. Polkenhorn & H. M. Carter, sworn and examined as witnesses by the Prosecution, after which the Prosecution Closed. Defendant waived the Examination of any witness. Cause Submitted. The Court after hearing the evidence and having fully considered the same, and it appearing to me that the offense in the deposition on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named James Ballou guilty thereof I order that he be held to answer to the Same, and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne without bail.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 454.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

George Madden, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged. Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. July 30th 1885.
30	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Madden be, and you are hereby fined in the sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Tuolumne County for a period of thirty days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

D. H. Hill Jus

No. 455.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Henry Smart — Plaintiff

vs.

M. R. Medara — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $55.00 Jcs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	28.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff —
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff —
	31"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of July 1885 & filed this day
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
Aug	4"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Fifty five dollars the sum sued for — and the sum of Eleven and 30/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the principal sued for 55.00
		" " " Justice's fee 8.50
		" " " Constable " 2.80
"		Total amount of Judgment 66.30

J. H. Hill J.P.

Execution issued Aug 4" 1885 — rec'd same —

Execution returned Satisfied Levied $55 mileage Aug 27th 1885. J.H.Hill J.P.

184

No. 456.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Parsons
Defendant

Action Exposure of Person

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & gin Sheriff Meade
" 29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law —
	Defendant discharged —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 457.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. B. Campbell, Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. Thos. Ethel Anderson, Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $178.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Tuolumne
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Tuolumne
"	31"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30" day of July 1885 and filed this day —
		" Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed this day —
Aug.	5	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint, Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
	"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint herein filed —
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of One Hundred and Seventy Eight & 50/100 Dollars the amount sued for and the sum of Fourteen and 30/100 Dollars, Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Gold Coin of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 178.50
		" " " Justices fees — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 5.80
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $192.80

J. B. Hill J.P.

J. P. fees Paid — J. B. Hill

Sept. 17th 1885. Received of J. W. Thomas abstract of judgment

Aug 5th 1885. Received of Plaintiff abstract of judgment

No. 458.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. Myer, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Simons, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $31.50/100

Dupper & Dupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Sept. Court Fresno
	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Sept Court Fresno
Sept 16.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
	together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on
	the 20th day of August 1885 and filed this day —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
" 22.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served
	with process and having failed to appear and answer the
	Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed
	by law for answering having expired the default
	of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly
	entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served
	with process, and having failed to appear and answer
	the plaintiff complaint on file herein — and the legal
	time for answering having expired and the default
	of the said in the premises having been duly entered
	according to law. Now at this day on application
	of Dupper and Dupper attorneys for said plaintiff
	It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against
	the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said
	plaintiff complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have
	and recover of and from the said defendant the sum
	of Thirty one and 50/100 dollars the Principal sued for
	and the further sum of Seventeen and 50/100 dollars costs
	necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this
	action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal sued for — 31.50
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constables " 7.00
"	Total amount of judgment — $ 47.00

J. H. Hills J.P.

No. 459

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Chris Larsen, Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

J. H. Baty, Attorney for Plaintiff

Hohn & Fulton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Munden
Aug.	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant held to answer in a bond of $500.00 for his appearance for Examination - Bond approved and filed -
Aug.	3.	This Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Aug 4th 1885 - Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant -
"	4.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination - J. J. Schaeffer, Joseph Stinnard & S. B. Hudson sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - after which by Consent, Cause Continued without day -
	21.	This Cause is set down to be Completed at 10. O.C. A.M. Aug. 24 1885 -
"	26.	Subpoenas returned and filed - Issued Subpoena for Plfts. & given Court -
	24.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - Defendant filed his motion to dismiss - Motion overruled Defendant Excepts - L. P. Drake & G. W. Brown sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution - Cause continued without day -
Sept.	8.	Court set down for further hearing at 2 O.C. P.M. Sept. 18th 1885.
	18.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed - with his examination - S. B. Hudson sworn and examined as a witness for the prosecution - Cause closed - and Submitted - The Court after hearing fully considered this whole matter. Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to hold the defendant -

S. W. Hill J.P.

No. 460

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Halcham

Defendant

Action Cruelty to the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McDonnell
Paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law - The Court after hearing the defense - ordered that the Defendant be discharged -
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 461.

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

George Rupert — Plaintiff

vs.

George Bammoser — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $11.50

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Merriam — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
July	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Amador
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Amador
Aug.	1st	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 1st day of August 1885 and filed —
		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"	4.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Grady & Merriam and files his demurrer to the plaintiff's complaint herein —

No. 462

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Murphey, Louis Benezet and William Wm Harris, Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Deft Court Trial Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are each of you hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of 5½ days each of you —
"	D. H. Hill J.P.
"	Paid

No. 463.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Threats

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Eradon Haynes, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Helm & Fulton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
July 31.	Complaint & Deposition filed Warrant issued & gn to Sp Court Fresno
Aug. 1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant held for examination in a bond of $100 — Bond approved & filed —
" 3.	This cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Aug 5th 1885 — Issued Subpoenas for the People —
" 4.	Defendant in Court and asked to plead guilty —
"	The Court after having fully considered Defendants plea
and	Order, that being satisfied to fear the commission of the offence; Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Eradon Haynes be and you are hereby required to enter into an undertaking in the sum of Three Hundred and fifty Dollars to keep the peace toward the People of this State and particularly towards Anne Jane Haynes and Mary Jane Dodd — and that Defendant Eradon Haynes be and he is hereby taxed with the cost necessarily expended in this action amounting to the sum of $7.20 — Defendant Bond approved and will be handed to the County Clerk on payment of said Costs —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 464

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Roe alias
J. B. Helton
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Cpl. Const. McDonell
" 3	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff to await his trial —

No. 465

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 9th	1st Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deptl Const. Tuolumne

No. 466.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Walling
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

J. H. Bulz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE, 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1st	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Sph't Court - Fresno -

No. 467.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Boon & Chas. Heath.

Defendant

Action Fighting

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 3.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Sept Court Defendants
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendant Wm Boon pleads guilty as charged — and ordered to appear for sentence at 6. O.C. P.M. Aug 5. 1885 —
Bond	" Defendant Chas Heath pleads not guilty — as charged Cause set down for trial at 4. O.C. P.M this day — Defendant filed his bond for his appearance — Bond approved —
"	4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial J. M. Brady and E. A. Brady — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — People closed Defendant sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Cause closed and submitted The Court after having fully considered the same orders that the Defendant be discharged —
	J. H. Hill J.P.
"	4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court this being the hour fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Wm Boon be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $12 00 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twelve days — Defendant paid fine & fees — Paid Cost $12 00
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 468.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Bablista Baldelli
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1885	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Constable Fresno

No. 469

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Grant Barns — Defendant

Action assault —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 3.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const Inyo —
" 5	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for trial in a bond of $100 — Bond approved & filed
" 11.	This Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C. P.M. Aug 13th 1885 — Issued Subpoenas for the Plaintiff and Defendant —
13.	2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and informed that the trial will now begin Defendant says he is ready for trial — John Jacobson Joshua Lewis sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution closed —
"	Defendant sworn and examined in his own behalf — Cause Submitted. The Court having fully considered the same Ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

E. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 471

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Schell & Herman Schell — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

W. Lindsay, Attorney for Plaintiff
M. V. Ashbrook, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 — PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 3 Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Fanning —
" 5 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 11 o'c. A.M. Aug the 12th 1885 — Defendants held for their appearance in about of $50 each — Bond approved and filed —
" 11. Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendants.
" 12 Defendant demands trial by jury and issued returnable at 11. o'c. A.M. Aug — 12th 1885 —
" " 11. o'c. A.M. Defendants in Court — Venire returned & filed Parties ready for trial. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification — after which by agreement of Parties the following jurors were drawn to try the cause viz: J. H. Berry — H. B. Alexander — J. H. Baker. P. R. Pease Wm. Bridge. Geo. Powell. A. J. Beckwith. H. Monroe — A. J. Garrett. J. H. Coffman. & A. Jackson —
A. J. Hobbs — Ed. Schell. & L. E. Baird drawn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution closed — Frank Schell, Charles Powell & H. R. Morrison — drawn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Defense closed — Smith and Hobbs called in rebuttal by the People — Cause closed — after argument of Counsel pro and con the cause was given the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after a short retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — Fresno City. 13th 1885 — We the undersigned jurors find the defendants guilty as charged — and recommend them to the mercy of the Court H. A. Mervin. Foreman —
" Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 11. o'c. A.M. Aug the 13th 1885 —
13th 11. o'c. A.M. Defendants in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense —

See Page 200 —

No. 471.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Account

George Rupp — Plaintiff

vs.

B. Myer — Defendant

Demand $21.90/100

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Brown affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Brown.
"	Summons returned showing personal with Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of Aug. 1885 and filed
"	Writ of attachment & Inventory returned and filed.
" 6.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his Demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein
"	Defendant files his demand for Itemized account of matter in suit.
" 11	This Demurrer set down for argument at 9 O.C. A.M. Aug. 12th 1885.
" 12.	9 O.C. A.M. Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and withdraws the Demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
"	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein. Cause by consent set down for trial on the 17th day of Aug. 1885 at 11 O.C. A.M.
" 14.	2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. Defendant files his affidavit asking a continuance upon the grounds of the absence of some important witness. Plaintiff objects to a continuance upon the grounds that the affidavit is insufficient upon which to grant a continuance. Defendants asked leave to amend his affidavit. Leave granted, to which Plaintiff objects on various grounds. The Court overruled the Plaintiffs objections to all of which the Plaintiff objects. Cause continued until 2 O.C. P.M. Aug. 21st 1885.
" 20.	Plaintiff files his Notice of Motion for Nonsuit, to be heard at 1 O.C. P.M. Aug. 21st 1885.
" 21st.	Parties in Court and agree to continue until Aug. the 24th 1885 2 O.C. P.M.
" 24.	2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. Motion argued submitted and overruled Plaintiff Exceptis. Cause continued until 10½ O.C. A.M. Aug. 25th 1885.
" 25.	Plaintiff files his demand for a bill of particulars
"	also demurrer to Defendants answer to Plffs Complaint

See Page 201

No. 472.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Robert Crawford Plaintiff

vs.

Packard Bros — Defendant

Action account

Demand $43.45/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	4	Complaint filed Summons issued & in Supl Court - Colwell
"	5	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 4th day of August 1885 and filed this day —
		This cause is dismissed —
		S. H. Hill J.P. —
Fresno Page 198.		that you Hiram Schell be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for one day — and that you Frank Schell be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $26.20 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of twenty six and 70/100 days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
		S. H. Hill J.P.
Aug 13	—	Defendants files their notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —
"	22.	Statement filed as entered —
		S. H. Hill - J.P.
"	22.	Papers in this action handed the Co — Clk —

No. 473

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
J. F. Minerman, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	5.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given to Const. McDonnell Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you J. F. Minerman be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 — Defendant at once paid said fine and therefore discharged — Paying Cost — $2.50 J. H. Hill J.P.
Sum- Page 199.		Defendant filed his amended answer to Plaintiff's complaint —
Aug. 1885	25.	Now comes the Parties to this action and mutually agree to dismiss said action paying the Cost in said action — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 474.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack McDuggan
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	5	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McDowell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Aug 6		and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty
		as charged - and waives the legal time for sentence -
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that
		you Jack McDuggan be and you are hereby fined
		in the sum of $5.00 - Defendant allowed until 10 O'C. A.M.
		Aug the 10th to pay said fine -
	14	Defendant - special said fine Cancel - Thursfa dismissed - Paid Cost $2.00

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 475

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jose Gonzales
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. McDonnell
paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant plead Not guilty as Charged. Defendant examined and discharged. Proceed he at once leaves the Town, to which Defendant Consents. —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 476.

In the Justice's Court of Blind Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. A. Cramer, Plaintiff

vs.

C. E. Brinson & Geo Brinson, Defendants

Action account

Demand $28.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	6	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const Caldwell
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Const Caldwell
"	11.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of August 1885 and filed this day
		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
"	13.	In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendants each in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
		In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of Eighteen Dollars the Principal and Thirty of Dollars and 70/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in behalf thereof of the United States
"		Judgment for the principal 18.00
		" " " Justices fees 8.50
		" " " Constable " 4.20
"		Total amount of judgment $30.70
		S. H. Hill J.P.
Sept.	28.	Execution issued & given to Const Caldwell

Cost & Court Brother Sates fees - Oct. 22nd 1885 S. H. Hill

No. 477

In the Justice's Court of Flume Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Rice & B. H. Lannis.
Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baty
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonnell
paid	"	Defendant Thomas Rice in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500.00 Bond approved and filed —
"	11.	Defendant B. H. Lannis gave bond in the sum of $500.00 for his appearance for examination Bond approved and filed —

No. 478.

In the Justice's Court of 3" Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Chinaman alias Ah Ylin
Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 8		Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDowell
" Aug. 11		Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law.

No. 479.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Jones, alias Ed. Lewis
Defendant

Action Indecent exposure

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Cons. McDonnell
"	14.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ed. Lewis be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $50.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and discharged. Paid Court $2.50
paid		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 480

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Duen alias
Ah Hung
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 4	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McDonell
Aug 4	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Hung be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $15.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Fifteen days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.
		D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 482.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Baby
Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sch. Constr. Fresno County disminsed —
	J. H. Hill. J.P. —

No. 482.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Wool, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to kill

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. L. Grady, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonell
Aug. 10.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his examination.
" 11.	Defendant files his bond of $500 for his appearance for examination. Bond approved.
" 14.	This Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Aug. 15th 1885. Issued Subpoenas for the People.
" 15.	11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Both parties ready for Examination. Defendant demands that the evidence be taken down. Whereupon the Court appointed J. M. Gearhart Short hand reporter for this Cause. Robert Ritch, Rudolph Krunk, G. W. McDonell & Louis Wheal sworn and examined as witnesses for the Peopl. Prosecution closed. Defendant waived the examination of any witness. Cause Submitted. The Court after having fully considered the same and it appearing to me the offense set forth in the Complaint as pld has been committed that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Jacob Wool Guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $500 and that he is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he gives bond bail.

J. K. Hill J.P.

No. 483.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Joe. Bassfield alias Smith
Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheriff Meade
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleades Not guilty as charged —
"	Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C. P.M. Aug 11th 1885 — Issued subpoenas for the Pltff and Defendant.
" 11.	2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — Fritz Bealinghing & O. J. Meade sworn and examined as a witness for the prosecution — after which the prosecution Closed — Hughes — Mean — Ice — Mew — James Newsam — and Joe Smith — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defense — Defense Closed — Cause Submitted — Court took the same under advisement —
" 12.	9 O'C. A.M. Defendant in Court; This being the hour fixed for Sentence — The Court after hearing fully & carefully considered this matter — finds that the Defendant Joe Smith guilty of the Crime of burglary — and orders that said Defendant appear for Sentence at 5 O'C. P.M. Aug. 12th 1885 —
"	5 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court; This being the hour fixed for Sentence, Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Joe Smith be and you are hereby fined in the sum of fifty dollars — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of fifty days —

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 464.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Owen Holmes, Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Riggs, Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $40.00/100

Holmes & Fulton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Inyo
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Aug 1885 and filed —
"	11.	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed —
		S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 485

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Hammond, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued return Deputy Sheriff De Blanc
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads Not guilty and demands trial by jury
Panel	
" 13th	This Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C.P.M Aug 15th 1885 — Issued venire and Subpoenas — and gave Deputy Sheriff De Blanc
" 15.	2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court venire and Subpoena returned and filed — Parties ready for trial — Jurors sworn and examined as to qualification — after which by Consent of Parties the following Eleven jurors were sworn to try the Case to wit; —
	But Cross P. De Blanc — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — Prosecution Closed —
	Lottie Elwood and Richard Hammond sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Cause submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short deliberation the jury were returned into Court with the following verdict — We the jury find the Defendant guilty. J. S. Yager — foreman —
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Richard Hammond be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $250.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of 250 days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for each dollar of said fine
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 486.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Ah Lem, Plaintiff

vs.

Young Lee, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $150.00/100

Jeppe & Jeppe, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff attorney. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney

No. 487.

In the Justice's Court of Co. Township,

of the State of California, County of Grass

H. C. Meyer, Plaintiff

vs.

Schmidt & Bentke, Defendant

Action Account for work

Demand $20.25 Fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	10th	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Mitchell
	15th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. On 14th day of August 1885 and filed this day
	19th	Now Comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained

No. 488.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Delano, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 12.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Colwell.
paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty. The Court ordered at the request of the Defendant that the said A. J. Delano be and appear for sentence at 1 O'Cl. P.M. Aug the 12. 1885.
" "	1 O'Cl. P.M. Defendant in Court this being the time fixed for sentence. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you A. J. Delano be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $15.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail for said County for a period of ten days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for one dollar of said fine. S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 489.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

H. Dreylian, Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph Downey, Defendant

Action

Demand $195.50/100

Beasly & Morrison
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dupper & Dupper
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given — Cause Windsor
24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 24th day of Aug 1885 — and filed —
" 29	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Dupper & Dupper and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
Sept. 24.	Demurrer set down for argument at 9. O.C. A.M. Sept. 25th 1885 —
" 25.	argument of Demurrer continued by Court until 11. O.C. A.M. Sept. 28th 1885.
28.	Demurrer submitted and overruled — Defendants Excepts
Oct. 5.	Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 8th 1885 —
"	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys and for answer denies each and every material allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
"	Cause continued by Court indefinitely —
" 17.	This Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. the 20th 1885
20th	This Cause continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 24th 1885 —
" 24	Defendant files his amended answer to Plfs. Complaint herein —
"	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. both Parties waive trial by jury and agree to try the Cause by the Court sitting without a jury — Parties ready to proceed — H. Dreylian. Examined as a witness for Plaintiff — Amos Hussey sworn as a witness Defendant objects to the evidence of witness Hussey — objection overruled — Defendant Excepts — W. H. Donahoe sworn and examined as a witness by the Plaintiff —
	Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed — Amos Hussey on the stand — William Bridge sworn and examined as a witness by the Plaintiff — Prosecution Closed —
"	A. Para sworn as a witness and asked to produce the plans & specifications of said building — Plaintiff objects — Objection overruled Plaintiff Excepts. Court took a recess until 7. O.C. P.M.
"	7. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — and by Consent agree to continue this action until Oct. 27th 1885. at 11. O.C. A.M.

See. Page 219

No. 490.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The Tuolumne County Bank
Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. C. M. N. Gamel & D. Davis
Defendants

Action Note of hand

Demand $275.00/100

Noyes & Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & gvn Plaintiff attorney -
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed - Writ of attachment issued & gvn. Pltfs. attorney -
"	25.	This cause dismissed by order of Pltf. J. P. Davis
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 491.

In the Justice's Court of 13th Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The First National Bank of Tuolumne, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. C. M. N. Garner & Wm. J. Garner, Defendants

Action Note of hand

Demand $132.00 Gold

Turner & Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	12.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's atty
"	25	This cause dismissed by order of Plff. J. P. fee paid D. H. Hill J. P.
Transcript Page 217		
Oct.	27.	10. O'C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed. A. Para on the stand and further examined. Defendant closed. Cause argued and submitted to the Court. The Court took the same under advisement without delay.
"	30.	The Court having fully considered this matter. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant a judgment for the sum of One Hundred and Sixty three and 86/100 Dollars allowed Plaintiff by this Court and the sum of $25.25/100 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States of America.
"		Judgment for the principal — 163.86
		" " " Justice fees — 12.75
		" " " Constable " 5.90
		Witness. Amos Hurry one day one mile 2.20
		" Wm. Bolridge " " " " 2.20
		" W. H. Donahue " " " " 2.20
"		Total amount of judgment. $189.11. D. H. Hill. J. P.
"		Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days.
"		Plaintiff files his Cost bill — $25.25/100
Nov.	9.	Defendant files his notice of appeal to the Superior Court.
"		This cause paid in full & dismissed. D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 492.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Gena Stoby —
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	12.	Complaint filed & Search Warrant issued and given Sheriff Meade —
"	13.	Search Warrant Executed returned and filed — D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 493.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Dunleavy, Van Cotten and Tom Nichols —
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Nichols
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants
omit		plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence.
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you and each of you be and you are fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendants at once paid said fines and thereupon discharged said Const. — $7.00 —
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 494.

In the Justice's Court of B Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Bofs & Wm Barican
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 13th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const Winchell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law, Defendants plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the above named Defendants be and you are committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Yuba County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of fifty days at any time within the next twelve months if found in the County of Yuba
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 495

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

W. D. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

E. P. Nelson, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $120.00

Grady & Morrison, Attorney for Plaintiff

Duprey & Duprey, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff
"	"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of August 1885 and filed —
"	14.	Now Comes the Defendant by his Attorney Duprey & Duprey and file his Demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein. This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff at Plaintiff Cost — E. H. Hill J.P. for Denise by [illegible] E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 496.

In the Justice's Court of Ex. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

George Peterson
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court —
paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plends guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Peterson be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Fifty Dollars — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Fifty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 497.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wing Lee —

Defendant

Action Battery —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McBonell.
Bail	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C. P.M. Aug 17th 1885 — Defendant deposits $5.00 for his appearance for trial — Defendant demands trial by jury —
	17.	Issued venire & Subpoena and gave Const —
	"	2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he was ready for trial — Jury empanneled and sworn to try the cause. Witnesses sworn and examined for and on — Cause submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict. Jury find the Defendant not guilty — Defendant discharged —

E. N. Hill J.P.

No. 498.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Bulton

Defendant

Action & Disturbing the peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const McDonald

No. 499.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Ding, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & rec'd Sheriff Court — Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads Not guilty — Defendant held in sum of $50.00 for his appearance for trial —
"	
18.	Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Ding be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte and said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	E. H. Hall J.P.

No. 550.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Anne Rasmussen, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Hart, Defendant

Action Account - appeal upon

Demand $350.00 per

Dupper & Dupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	14.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Birchell
"		Summons returned showing personal service on defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Aug — 1885 and filed this day
"	15.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney J. G. Rhodes and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein
"	22.	Counsel for the respective parties in Court and proceeded to argue and submit the demurrer to Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — the Court took the same under advisement
"	25.	Demurrer sustained — Plaintiff allowed 20 days in which to amend his complaint.
"		Plaintiff files his amended Complaint.
		Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs amended Complaint
Sept	2.	By order of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed without prejudice —

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 501.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Mansfield, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Mitchell
Sept.	18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleades not guilty as charged. Defendant Remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his trial.
Sept.	2.	Defendant discharged for want of prosecution
		D. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 512

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Burt Crow alias H. B.
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const Sheriff to Bring Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead guilty and waives the legal time for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Cost $4.50
Paid	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 503.

In the Justice's Court of Ohmi Township,

of the State of California, County of Buena

F. Banta & Martha Banta his wife — Plaintiffs

vs.

The Buena Canal and Irrigation Company — Defendant

Action Damages —

Demand $299.99/100 —

H. S. Bigger
Attorney for Plaintiff

Brown & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	15.	Transfer of Cause from Justice's Court to Township
"		Summons filed with Complaint and Summons also the transcript to this Court.
Sept.	5.	Summons set down for argument at 2 O'C. P.M. Sept 7th 1885.
	7.	Demurrer Submitted and overruled —
"	11.	Defendant by Consent of Plaintiff files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
Oct.	13.	Plaintiff asked leave of the Court to amend his Complaint on file herein — leave granted —

No. 514.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Ashburian
Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 15.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Marsh
" 17	to execute & return before Court. Marsh
	returned with defendant in court and informed of the charge against
	him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead
paid	not guilty. Defendant held to answer for trial
	in a bond of $50.00. Bond approved and filed.
" 25.	Cause set down for trial at 4 o'clock P.M. Aug 26th 1885.
	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant.
	Cause dismissed.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 505

In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Benjamin Webber – Charles Mahu
Geo Kingsley –
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 17th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const Fresno
Aug. 17	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law – Defendants Examined – after which the Court ordered that they be discharged upon the grounds that it appears that the Defendants are working men in the County and the offense is of small moment –
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 516

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Dunleavy
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 8th 17th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Bindhell.

No. 507.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Arson

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ch. Balinas alias V. Majo — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 17th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Mitchell,
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law - Cause set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug - 18th 1885 -
18th	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination - Defendant demands that the evidence be taken down - Whereupon the Court appointed J.M. Graham Short hand Reporter for the Cause - S. N. Griffith. J. Griffith M. H. McKenzie & John Tuomitto sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - E. Burnhead. J. L. Hoag & John Lewis sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defense Cause closed and Submitted - The Court took the matter under adjustment until 9. O.C. A.M. August - 20th 1885 -
20th	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - The Court after hearing fully considered this matter - and it appearing to me that the offense charged in the Complaint on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named V. Majo guilty thereof. It is order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and is admitted to bail in the sum of $500 and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he gives such bail -
	J. H. Hill. J.P.
"	The Papers in this action handed the District Attorney -

No. 506.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Packard Leon Cunnian
and William McKight
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 18th		Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sp. Const. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants Wm. Packard and Leon Cunnian plead guilty — and waive the legal time for sentence —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Wm. Packard and Leon Cunnian be and you each of you fined in the sum of Twenty Dollars each and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mendo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Twenty days each in proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — D. H. Hill J.P.
"		Defendant Wm. McKight plead not guilty, as charged and claims that he only acted in self defense. After examination of said Defendant. The Court ordered that Defendant Wm. McKight be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is not sufficient to convict said Wm. McKight — D. H. Hill J.P.
"	26.	Defendant Leon Cunnian discharged on payment of $11.00 now due on fine. Aug 18th 1885 — D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 509.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Dunleavy alias
Thomas Dunphy —
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 8th	18th Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sept. Court. Fresno
1887. May.	31st Issued alias Warrant of arrest & given Sept. Court Fresno
June	13th C. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked for his examination in a bond of Two Hundred Dollars — Bond approved and filed —
"	31. Defendants bail Surrendered the defendant in this exoneration to the Court — Defendant filed a new undertaking in the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars — Bond approved and filed —

No. 510.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Chinaman,
Defendant

Action Embezzlement

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 18th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Constable Tuolumne
" 25th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead not guilty — Defendant held in sum of $100.00 for his appearance for trial — Bond approved and filed —

No. 571.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Niles S. Hanson, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

J. H. Gray, Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Gheen, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Sph. Court Fresno
" 22	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the Complaint. Cause set down for Trial at 2. O'C. P. M. Aug 26th 1885. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $100.00
" 25	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant
26	2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Both parties waive trial by jury. William White, Philip Hennessy, John Neilson, C. E. Cane, C. H. Hanson, Charles Daffell, sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution Closed. Neil S. Hanson sworn and examined as a witness on his own behalf. Cause Closed. Argued and Submitted. The Court after hearing fully considered the same, finds the Defendant guilty as charged. The Court ordered that the Defendant appear for Sentence at 1. O'C. P. M. August 27th 1885.
27	2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Neil S. Hanson be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $17.00. Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged. Paid Court $17.00. E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 512.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, et al —
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug-	29.	Complaint- filed- affidavit- made and such warrant- issued to wit Const- Finchile-

No. 513.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

David Hill Geo. Wood and Ray Willard -
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McDonnell
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for Sentence -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you David Hill, Geo. Wood & Ray Willard be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $5.00 each - Defendants at once paid said fine in to Court and was thereupon discharged - Paid Costs $7.00 -
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-14.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

P. H. Douglas, Defendant

Action Bond

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Constable
"	25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law, Cause set down for examination at 1 O.C. P.M. Aug 29th 1885 —
Paid		
"	29.	2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination, both parties ready to proceed —
		Wm Hedgepeth and Edward O. Hagan sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed — Defendant waived a Statement of the examination of any witness — Cause argued and Submitted —
		The Court after hearing fully considered this Cause of action and it appearing to me that the offence in the complaint mentioned on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendant P. H. Douglas guilty thereof Order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars — and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail —
		Dated this 29th day of Aug — 1885.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 515.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jose Evelena

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

J. H. Baty
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 21st	Complaint filed. Warrant issued against the accused.
paid	

No. 516.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Nevada

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Sang. a Chinaman
Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 21	Complaint filed on affidavit made & filed by P. Le Blanc praying that a warrant of arrest be issued for the arrest of said Ah Sang
"	Warrant issued returnable before a Court of Record of said County and State aforesaid —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 517.

In the Justice's Court of Ishid Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

James Loy — Plaintiff

vs.

B. C. Naughton — Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $150.00/100

Supper & Supper — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Attorney
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plfs Attorney.
22	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of August 1885 and filed.
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed.
29.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Supper & Supper Attorneys for Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein. Whereon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $150.00 the Principal and the sum of $15.60 interest thereon and the further sum of $20.00 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action all in lawful money of the United States.

" Judgment for the Principal sued on	150.00
" " " Interest thereon	15.60
" " " Justices fees	8.50
" " " Constable "	11.50
" Total amount of Judgment	$185.60

S. B. Hill J.P.

Supper 5-4 1885 — This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Atty. Hill J.P. Oct — Mile — Aug — 29 — 1885 —

Execution issued & given Const. Timothy Aug. 29 1885 —

No. 5-18

In the Justice's Court of Bu Township,
of the State of California, County of Fresno

L. B. Blasingame, Plaintiff
vs.
J. B. Long, Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $157.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court to Winchell
"	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court to Winchell
"	25	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 24th day of Aug. 1885 and filed this day
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
Sept.	4"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering herein expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiff Complaint on file herein — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred and fifty-seven dollars the Principal and the sum of Forty and 78/100 dollars interest thereon and the further sum of Seventeen and 50/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action all in gold Coin of the U. S. —
		Judgment for the Principal sued on — 157.00
		" " " Interest thereon 40.78
		" " " Justices fees — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 9.00
		Total amount of Judgment $215.28
		J. H. Hills J.P.

Exec. $17.50 paid by Plff. Oct. 5-1885 —

Judgment - Credited by the sum of $6 11" Sept. 22" 1885 —

" This credit is the money of Bennett & Smith — The Credit is the money of Bennett & Smith

No. 579.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

William Boon

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the affiant.

No. 5211

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Burn
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

J. H. Bay
Attorney for Plaintiff
Helms & Fullen
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed & warrant issued & given to Const. Sheriff Jo. Blanc —
Aug. 26 "	Defendant in Court — and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads not guilty — and committed to the Sheriff to await his trial in default of bond in the sum of $200.
27.	Defendant's Bond approved and filed —
Dec — 1.	This cause set down for trial at 11. o'c. A. M. Dec. 3. 1885
" 3.	11. o'c. A. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — P. Jo. Blanc — Sworn and examined as a witness for the Prosecution. People Close — — John Burn. Sworn and examined in his own behalf — Defense Close — Cause submitted to the Court. Court takes the same under advisement, without day —
" 5.	The Court having fully considered this matter — order that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict — J. W. Hill J.P.

No. 521

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns — Defendant

Action Resisting an officer

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Griffith & Conti, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & to Lieut Court LeBlanc
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff to await his examination in default of a bond of $500.00 —
paid	
27.	Defendants Bond approved and filed —
Sept. 1.	This cause is set down for trial at 10 o'c. A.M. Sept 3d 1885 —
" 3.	Cause continued until 9 o'c. A.M. Sept 4th 1885. By Consent —
" 4.	10 o'c. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination — P. Le Blanc, Brown and others examined for the People — prosecution closed — Cause submitted —
"	The Court took the same under advisement without day —
8.	Defendant in Court — The Court having fully considered this matter, now orders that the defendant be discharged without prejudice to the People in this action —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 522

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias
Roberto Maitorel
Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Commit Murder

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deputy Sheriff Le Blanc
" 25	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his examination
" 27.	Cause set down for trial at 4 o'c. P.M. Aug. 27. 1885 — Subpoena issued and given Court —
" "	4 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination — P. Le Blanc - G. H. McDowell and Adolph Segara — Sworn and examined as witnesses after which the prosecution Closed —
"	Defendant Sworn and Made a Statement — Counsel Closed — Argued and Submitted — The Court after hearing fully considered the evidence and It appearing to me that the Crime of an Assault with a deadly weapon has been Committed and that there is Sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendant Roberto Maitorel guilty thereof — Order that he be held to answer to the Same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and is admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars — and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he gives such bond —

Dated this Aug. 27. 1885

S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 523.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Note of hand

Kutner & Goldstein
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Walton
Defendant

Demand $174.00

Tuppa & Tuppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Bagby & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Windred
"	25.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of Aug. 1885 and filed —
Sept.	2.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Bagby Harris & Cavill and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
Oct.	16.	Demurrer submitted and overruled, and defendant consents that the default of said defendant be entered and hereby confess judgment — to the amount now due Plaintiff in this action —
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of $71.63/100 amount now due and unpaid in said action — and the further sum of $12.00 costs necessarily incurred in said action all in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the principal sum for — 71.63.
"		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
"		" " " Constables " 7.50
"		Total amount of judgment — $83.63
		S. W. Hill J.P.
"		Handed Plaintiff abstract of judgment —

No. 524.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

J. B. Hancock Plaintiff

vs.

D. G. Stizgall et al. Defendants

Action Damages

Demand $299.95/100

Harris & Bullock
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dwight & Harris & Cavitt
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court - Writ of Attach
" 25.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of May 1885. And filed -
" 27.	Now comes the Defendants W. W. Herring. Wm. F. Herring - and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the plaintiff's Complaint contained -
" "	Now comes the Defendant D. G. Stizgall by his Attorneys Dwight Harris & Cavitt and files answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein -
Sept. 5.	By Consent of parties this Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Sept. 11th 1885 - Issued Subpoena for Plff.
" 7.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant - & gave Court.
" 11.	Defendant demands trial by jury venire issued & given Court.
"	Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed -
"	By order of Plaintiff Defendant W. W. Herring & Wm. F. Herring are hereby dismissed as parties to this action -
"	Defendant files his offer to allow judgment to be taken against him - Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendant's offer -
"	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court, and ready to proceed - Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification - after which by consent of parties to this action the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause - Trust. J. A. Bell. N. Rolf - E. B. Smith - W. H. Chance and J. L. Morgan - Issued Subpoena for Defendant, Subpoena returned and filed - Wm. Webber. J. B. Hancock Wm. J. B. Hancock. Antone Days - and Joshua Newman - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Prevention Rests - D. G. Stizgall. N. Carroll. Andrew Brown. J. M. Little H. Hamin - and M. L. Dean Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendants. Defense Closed - After argument by Counsel pro and con - the Cause was given the jury for their deliberation and verdict. The jury after a short retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court - - See Page. 256.

No. 525.

In the Justice's Court of Big Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. J. B. Turner, Defendant

Action A. Misdemeanor

Demand $

J. H. Gaz, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
" 25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights before the law. Defendant pleades not guilty as charged. Defendant held to answer for Trial in above of Fifty Dollars. Bond approved and filed.
paid	

No. 526.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Payne, Joseph Spinney, Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

J. H. Gray, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. V. Gray, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Meade
"	27.	Defendant Joseph Spinney in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Tuolumne County in default of bond for his appearance
"	29.	Defendant John Payne in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads Not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. Aug the 31st 1885 — Both parties waive trial by jury on demand of Defendant John Payne the Court granted him a separate trial —
"		Defendant Joe. Spinney Bond approved & filed
"	31st	Continued until 5 o'clock P.M. September 1st 1885. —
"		Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Court.
Sept.	1st	5 o'clock P.M. Defendant John Payne in Court with his attorney W. V. Grady and asked to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged in the complaint on file herein — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 o'clock A.M. September the 3d 1885 —
"	3d	9 o'clock A.M. Defendant John Payne in Court this being the hour fixed for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you John Payne be and you are hereby fined in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of One Hundred days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
		D. H. Hill J.P.
Dec.	1st	By consent of attorneys in this action this cause of the People against Joseph Spinney is set down for trial Dec. 7th 1885 at 1 o'clock P.M.

See Page 255

No. 527

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

M. J. Church, Plaintiff

vs.

John Brown, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $150.00/100

Grady & Merriam, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dupper & Dupper, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	26.	Complaint filed Summons issued to Con. Kindall
"	31.	Summons returned showing personal service on the 31st day of Aug. 1885. together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein and filed —
Sept.	4.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Dupper & Dupper and files his offer to allow judgment — also files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein —
Nov.	14.	Suit dis'd upon & Cost Paid $4.80
		J. K. Hile J.P.
Nov. Page 254.	—	Issued subpoena for the People and gave Cons —
Dec.	7.	1. O.C. P.M. — The District attorney moved the Court to continue this Cause in consequence of the absence of the witnesses for the prosecution — also in consequence of the absence of the defendant The Court granted the continuance defense consenting — Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec — 11th 1885 —
"	11.	10. O.C. A.M. People in Court and in consequence of the absence of the defendant Cause continued until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —
"		1. O.C. P.M. Cause continued until 9. O.C. P.M. Dec. 12. 1885 —
	12.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and filed his affidavit asking Change of venue — Change of venue granted and ordered that the Cause be transferred to the Court of H. A. Short in the 3rd Judicial Township County of Tuolumne State of California —

No. 528.

In the Justice's Court of Stine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. J. Church Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Patron Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $150.00/100

Grady & Merriam Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 26.	Complaint filed Summons issued returnable forthwith — Mitchell
" 27.	By order of the Plff. this Cause is dismissed — D. H. Hill J.P.
Fresno Page 252	with the following verdict — Trial: Fresno Sept. 11th 1885. We the jury find for the Plaintiff the sum of Ten dollars ($10.00) D. A. Bell — foreman —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants the sum of Ten dollars damages — and the further sum of $ Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the damages — 10.00
	" " " Justices fees — 13.50
	" " " Constables " — 32.20
	" " " Jurors — " 10.00
	Witnesses " A. J. Hancock — 1. day — 6. Miles — Paid — 3.20
	" " Wm Webber — " " " " Paid — 3.20
	" " Antonio Dazo — " " " " Paid — 3.20
	" " Joshua Newman " " " " Paid — 3.20
	" " C. C. Harris Paid 2.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $80.50
	D. H. Hill J.P.
	Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days —
27.	Plaintiff filed his bill of Costs — $70.50
" 28.	Stipulation filed by Consent of Parties —
Sept. 28.	This Cause fully in full and dismissed
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 529.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Peter Quarenbach
Plaintiff

vs.

M. Levioth
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.00/cc.

Gray & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 26.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Windhall
" 28.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Deft. together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 27th day of August 1885. and filed this day.
" 31.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his answer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein

No. 530.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. Van. Valen
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank E. Gadbock
Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand $77 00/100 & Costs

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 26th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plff. Attorney
" 31st	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of Aug. 1885 and filed.
Sept. 7th	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of E. E. Calhoun attorney for said plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Seventy-seven dollars the Principal sued on and the sum of Thirty-one & 82/100 dollars the interest thereon and the further sum of Six and 30/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States.
"	Judgment for the Principal sued on 77.00
	" " " Interest thereon — 31.82
	" " " Justice's fees — 4.50
	" " " Constable " 1.80
"	Total amount of Judgment $115.12
	S. H. Hill J.P.
" 8.	Issued Execution and given Plff. Attorney —
Oct 31	Issued other Execution —
1886 Jan 11	Alias Issued & Dl. to C. Frazer

Issued Alias Execution - May 12, 1886

See Page 259.

No. 531.

In the Justice's Court of Blind Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Martin Brazer & Hoffman, Plaintiffs

vs.

J. B. Conning, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $457.38/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Winchell.
Tuo Co.	258.	
1884. Nov	24.	Plaintiff filed his order to appear and answer concerning his property
"	26.	" " " " " " " " " " "
"		This cause set down for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Nov 27th 1884 It is ordered that you F. E. Jadlock - Make no conveyance during the pendency of this Examination - not or proceed -
"	27.	Defendant files Adjudication of Insolvency Stay of proceedings and order of Publication of Notice to Creditors —
"	29.	Plaintiff filed his affidavit - an order for appearance of the Defendant forthwith —
	30.	Affidavit - and order returned and filed —
"		2 O.C. P.M. arts in Court - Motion argued and submitted The Court took the same under advisement —

No. 532

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

M. J. Church. Plaintiff

vs.

Gabriel Manuel. Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $150.00 Fee

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Windell.
Nov.	14	Suit dismissed Cost paid $2.00

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 533.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

M. J. Church
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Rollin
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $150.00 Gold Coin

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued to Sheriff Butte County - Executed
"	31.	Summons returned showing personal service - together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of August, 1885 - and filed -
Sept.	4.	Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and files his offer to allow judgment - also his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein -
Nov.	14	Suit dismissed Costs paid $4.85

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-34.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendocino

Jacob Eckhardt
Plaintiff

vs.

Minty Belenburg
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued to Sheriff Mendocino
" "	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of Aug. 1885. - Cause filed

No. 5-35.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The Farmers Bank of Tuolumne
Plaintiff

vs.

M. R. Madary
Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $190.17/100

H. S. Bixon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell
28.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant on the 28th day of August 1885 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
29.	This cause settled in full and dismissed —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 536.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Kuhn Goldstein & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

W. H. Smalley Defendant

Action Note & Accrued

Demand $114.56/100

Tuppa & Tuppa Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Winchell
" 31.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 29th day of Aug 1885. and filed this day
Sept. 4	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff Complaint on file herein and and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of Tuppa & Tuppa attorneys for the plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiff Complaint on file herein
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Fifty Six and 40/100 Dollars the Principal and interest now due and unpaid on said promissory note and the further sum of Six and 80/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action – all in Gold Coin of the United States –
"	Judgment for the Principal and interest 56.40
	" " " Justice fee 5.00
	" " " Constable " 1.80
"	Total amount of Judgment – $63.20
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 537

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mono

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe —

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued & given Court - Defendant - now comes the plaintiff - injured and acknowledges that
"	he has received satisfaction for the injury done him
Sept	paid the cost - incurred in said action - Whereupon
	the Court - ordered that the defendant - be discharged -
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-38.

In the Justice's Court of Ilinois Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Writ of Replevin

Demand $36 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

H. A. Benadom
Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Lafore & John Marshall
Defendants

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28.	Complaint filed & Summons issued & given Const. Ellis —
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Ellis —
" 29.	Cause settled in full and dismissed

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-39

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Neatly, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. G. Rickford, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. McDonald
"	31.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead not guilty - as charged - Defendant filed for his appearance for trial in a bond of $100.00 - Cause set down for trial at 2 o'c. P.M. Sept. 4th 1885 - Defendant demanding trial by jury - Subpoena issued.
Sept.	2.	Defendant in Court - and asks to withdraw his plea of not - and to plead guilty - and waiving the legal time for sentence. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. J. Neatly be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 - Defendant at once paid said fine and costs of charged - Paid Const. $6.00

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-4a.

In the Justice's Court of Ilinois Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Barker, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Tuppe & Tuppe, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	22.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Sheriff's hands. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held in a bond of $300.00 for his appearance for trial. Bond approved and filed —
paid	"	
Sept.	5th	Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that he said offender Charles Barker be and he is hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged — Paid Court $6.00
		J. H. Hill J.P.
Turn Page 269.	&	do hereby and recover of and from the Plaintiff his costs necessarily expended in the defense of this action — taxed at the sum of Twenty three and 80/100 dollars in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Justice fee — 7.00
		" Peter Barment Witness — 2. days — 1. Mile. 4.20
		" L. Rodrigues — " " " 5 " 8.00
		" M. Rodrigues " " " 3. " 4.60
		" Frank Bernadelli Interpreter — 3.00
		Total amount of Judgment — $. 23.80
		J. H. Hill. J.P. —
Sept.	18.	Defendant filed his Cost Bill —

No. 541

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action For milk and labor performed

Anna & Ignacio Enos — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Vierra Rodrigo — Defendant

Demand $245.00/100

Haph. Harris & Cavitt — Attorney for Plaintiff

Tuppar & Tuppar — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Deft. Const. Tuol.
"	7.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 7th day of Sept. 1885 and filed —
"	11.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Tuppar & Tuppar and file his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein —
Oct.	17.	Demurrer submitted and overruled — Cause by Consent set down for trial at 10 O'C. A.M. Dec. 30th 1885 —
"	30.	By Consent this Cause is Continued generally —
May.	10.	This Cause set down for trial at 10 O'C. A.M. May the 24th 1886 —
May	24	Postponed indefinitely on account of parties not being present.
Aug	28.	This Cause set down for trial Sept. 6th 1886 — at 10 O'C. A.M. —
Sept.	6.	Cause Continued by Consent of parties until 10 O'C. A.M. Sept. 7th 1886 — Issued Subpoenas for Plaintiff and gen. Const. —
"	7.	10 O'C. A.M. Parties in Court and by Consent this Cause is Continued until 10 O'C. P.M. this Sept. 7th 1886 —
"	"	1½ O'C. P.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial — Defendant moves the Court to make an order to Compell the Plaintiff to select which Count in his Complaint she will proceed to trial upon — Motion denied Defendant Excepts — Witnesses sworn under the rule of the Court after being sworn Frank Dorradell sworn as interpreter — Mrs. Annie Enos sworn and examined as acting in her own behalf — The Prosecution Closed — direct —
"		Joe Rodrigues on the stand — The Court adjourned until 9 O'C. A.M. September 8th 1886 —
"	8.	9 O'C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed. Joe Rodrigues on the stand — L. Rodrigues — Peter Darmont — Examined — after which the Cause Closed —
"		Cause to be Submitted on briefs — by attys. pro & con —
"	13.	Briefs submitted and filed by respective parties —
"	16.	The Court having fully Considered this matter —
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant

See Page 268 —

No. 542

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. White & L. Rafalje, Defendants

Action Killing

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 2.	Complaint filed. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Cause set down for trial at 8. O'C. A.M. Sept. 2. 1885 — On Ground issued & given Defendants attorney —
" "	8. O'C. P.M. Defendants in Court with their attorney W. V. Duppa — J. H. Daly the District attorney present Defendants say they are ready for Examination and demand that the evidence against be taken down — Whereupon the Court appointed J. M. Gerlach as Short-hand reporter in this action —
"	Henry Shire, B. Smith A. J. Beck with F. J. White & H. L. Rafalje — sworn as witnesses and examined pro and con — Cause closed — and Submitted — The Court having fully considered the Same Ordered that the Defendants F. J. White and H. L. Rafalje be discharged upon the ground that the evidence Sustains the fact that the killing was done by public officers acting in aid when necessarily committed in overcoming actual resistance to the Execution of a legal process —

J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 543.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph O'Dell & Jas Brown
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 2.		Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sept. Court. McDonald
paid	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 o'c. A.M. Sept. 3rd 1885. On their own recognizance —

No. 544.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Geo. H. Eggers, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim, Defendant

Action on account

Demand $299.99/100

E. E. Calhoun, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	3d	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plfs. attorney. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plfs. attorney.
"	4.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of Sept. 1885. and filed —
		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
	8.	This cause dismissed by each of the Plaintiffs — Cost paid by Plaintiffs —
		D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 545.

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

Action Account

Frank Rolle Plaintiff

vs.

M. Luttke Defendant

Demand $28.66/100

Doyle Harris & Corbett
Attorney for Plaintiff

Holmes & Zmelter
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 2d	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Sept Court Amador
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Sept Court Amador
" "	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 4th day of September 1885 and filed —
	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
" 5.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney Holmes & Zmelter and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
Sept. 11.	By consent of Parties this cause set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Sept 14th 1885.
" 14	11. O.C. A.M. Plaintiff in Court — after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant and the Defendant having failed to appear according to law
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Frank Rolle do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. Luttke the sum of Twenty Eight and 66/100 Dollars the amount sued for and the sum of Fifteen and 25/100 Dollars the costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal sum sued for — 28.66
" " "	Justices fees — 8.75
" " "	Constable " — 6.50
"	Total amount of judgment — $43.91
"	Plaintiff files his Cost bill $15.25/4 D. H. Hill J.P.
18th	Received on the above judgment the sum of $24.50/4 by the hand of D. B. Hudson leaving a balance due of $19.41
"	Justice and Constable fees paid —

No. 546.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
George Warner & George Jones, Defendants

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

E. D. Edmonds, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno
" 5.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants held for examination in a bond of $100.00 each — Cause set down for examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 19th 1885 —
" 19.	10 O.C. A.M. By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 28th 1885 —
" 21.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendants, & gave Const.
" 28th	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for Examination. Frank Murphy, Chas O'Neal, Mrs Louis and Grace Barthe sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — The People Close — Mrs Warner and Geo. Jones sworn and examined for the defendants — Defense Close — Cause argued and Submitted. The Court takes the same under advisement until 3 O.C. P.M. this day —
"	3 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — The Court after having fully Considered this Matter — Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict the Defendants —

S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 547.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Mansfield
Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sept. Court Butte
" 11th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the bar — Defendant waives charge
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 548.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Lee Chung
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	5th	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Sheriff. Lee Blanc-
	"	defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Lee Chung be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50/100 — Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged —
paid		Paid Cost: $2.25
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 549.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns & Matt Wright, Defendant

Action Felony

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Maxle
" 11.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Cause set down for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 17th 1885 — Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff in default of a bond in the Sum of $1000 each —
Pauci	
15.	Issued Subpoena for the People —
" 17.	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Defendants demanded that the testimony be taken down — Thereupon the Court appointed Charles H. Gearhart to take down the testimony in Short-hand in this action — Parties ready to proceed — John A. Barker. Henry Smart. Willis Abby P. H. Menaham and Louis Milla — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Closed — Defendant waived all examination — Cause Submitted — The Court after hearing fully considered the Same and It appearing to me that the offence in the within deposition mentioned have has been Committed. and that there is Sufficient Cause to believe the above named John Burns and Matt Wright guilty thereof — It Order that they be held to answer to the Same — and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne — And that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of $1000 each and are Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until they give such bail —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 3-50

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Carlile
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Court Tuolumne
" 8.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court.
Paid	

No. 551.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Tracy Devar als
Maggie Stewart
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 7th	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Defendant McDowell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence.
paid	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Maggie Stewart be fined you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged

Paid Court: $2.85

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 552.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Ping
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 8th 1885	7. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sept. Cont. Inyo

No. 553.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

C. G. Anderson, Plaintiff

vs.

H B Gerry & Thomas Intch, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $24.70/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 7th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court-Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court-Winchell

282

No. 554.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Callehan
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Tuolumne
" Paid 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant his charge
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 555

In the Justice's Court of Third Bro Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Cole, Wm. Cho. Cole, Frannie Loling, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Mc. Burnett
"	10	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
paid		and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged
		and waive the legal time for Sentence —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is
		ordered and adjudged that for said offense you and
		each of you be and you are each of you hereby
		fined in the sum of $5.50 Defendants at once paid said
		fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Price Costs $7.50
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 556.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jeff E Davis
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade
"	11.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for Examination in the sum of $500.00 Bond offered and filed —
"	11.	This cause set down for Examination at 11 O.C. A.M. Sept. 15th 1885 —
"	15.	This cause continued until 11 O.C. A.M. Sept. 23d 1885 —
"		Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave his Counsel —
"	21.	Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Court —
	22.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave Court
"	23.	11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination and demands that the testimony be taken down whereupon the Court appointed Jennie Frazer as shorthand reporter for said Cause —
"		The Prosecution filed their affidavit asking a continuance as set out in said affidavit on file herein —
"		Cause continued until 11 O.C. A.M. Oct. 5th 1885. Witnesses present were instructed to be in attendance at that time —
Oct.	5.	Now comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 557.

In the Justice's Court of Ishid Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuerne

W. B. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

R. C. Adler, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $75.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 12	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff —
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff
" 30	Summons returned with personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein in the County of Tulare State of California on the 29th day of September 1885. and filed this day —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
Oct. 20	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of the said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars amount sued on and the sum $13.35/100 Cents necessarily expended in the prosecution of said action in Courtesy Money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 75.00
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constable " 5.25
"	Total amount of Judgment — $88.75

E. W. Hill J.P.

No. 558.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Fred. Smith, Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Court Columbia.
" 16.	Defendant arrested and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of $100.00 — Bond approved & filed
" 18	This cause set down for Examination at 4 O'C. P.M. Sept. 18th 1885 —
"	4 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court — and ready to proceed with the Examination. C. A. Johnson and C. S. Anderson sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — after which the prosecution closed —
	Fred Smith sworn and examined in his own behalf after which the cause was submitted to the Court. The Court after having fully considered the same orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to hold the Deft.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 559.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Annie Wood, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. McDonald
" 15	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
paid	" Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Annie Wood be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 Defendant once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged. Paid Court $6.00

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 561.

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

M. A. Fratis
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank H. Bolder
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $70.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Sept. Court. Prusse
"	affidavit for attachment made and filed. Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Sept. Court. Prusse
"	Cause dismissed —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 561.

In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Jens Hansen Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Hansen Defendant

Demand $70.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Fresno
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. $50.00 deposited in U. S. Gold Coin equal to the amount required by the said undertaking — " — "
"	Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Fresno —
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of September 1885 — and filed.
" 21st	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordancy with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Seventy Dollars the amount sued for and the further sum of Thirteen and 80/100 Dollars costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action — all in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal sued for — 70.00
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constables " 5.30
"	Total amount of Judgment — $83.80
	B. H. Hill J.P.
"	Issued Execution and given to Const. Weichell

Execution returned not satisfied. Sept. 28th 1885. Const. fees $2.00

No. 562.

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

George Mansfield, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const Winchell.
" Paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence,
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you George Mansfield be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff to be imprisoned at any time within the next twelve Months if found in said County in the County Jail of said County for a period of One hundred days —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 563.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of hand

P. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

G. Olson Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Court Windhell
" 16.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of Sept 1885, and filed this day
" 21.	This action continued by the Court of $30.00 and a stay of proceedings allowed for ten days
" 28.	Paid in full by Plaintiff

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 564.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Maggie Collins & Fannie Edwards
Defendants

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	15.	Complaint filed & warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff Le Blanc
"	"	Defendants in court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants held for their appearance for examination in a bond of $500". Cash bonds filed.
Page		
"	30.	This cause set down for examination this day at 10 o'clock A.M. Oct. 3rd 1885.
		Issued subpoena for the People and defendants.
Oct.	27.	On motion of the District Attorney this cause is dismissed upon the grounds of the absence of the prosecuting witness and his whereabouts.

J. H. Hall J.P.

No. 565.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Contract entered into

Hulton G. Bury Plaintiff

vs.

Frank H. Ball Defendant

Demand $232.50

Bury & Bury Attorneys for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 16. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Winchule –

" 18. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of September 1885 and filed –

" 21st. Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Doyle Harris & Cavitt and files his demurrer to the plaintiff's Complaint on file herein –

" 23. Demurrer set down for argument at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 24 1885 –

" 24. 10 O.C. A.M. Plaintiff in Court, after waiting one full hour for the defendant or his attorneys – Demurrer submitted and overruled –

" Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein –

" 25. By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Oct 1st 1885 –

" 30. Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & given Court.

Oct. 1st. By Consent of parties this Cause is continued to be taken up on two days notice to either party –

" 28. By Consent of Parties this Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Oct. 29 1885 –

" 4 By Consent Continued until 2 O.C. P.M. November 2d 1885 –

Nov. " 2. By Consent of Parties this Cause is continued generally –

Dec. 9. By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Dec. 10 1885 –

10. Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and given Court –

" 2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court – Jury trial waived by both parties – Parties ready to proceed with the trial of this Cause – H. G. Bury and L. A. Thompson – Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Plaintiff – Plaintiff Closes

F. H. Ball sworn an examined the the defense Cause closed – Argued and Submitted. The Court takes the same under advisement

" 26. 10 O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered this matter – Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant judgment for the sum of Two hundred and thirty two and 50/100 dollars the amount sued for and the sum of ten and 65/100 dollars Cost necessarily incurred in this action all in lawful money of the United States –

" Judgment for the Principal sued for – 232.50

" " " Costs in said action – 15.85

" Total amount of Judgment – $248.35

S. H. Hill J.P. –

1886. Judgment – Paid in full. S. H. Hill J.P.

Pltff. files his Cost Bill –

294

No. 546.

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias
Carlos Manuel Mitado
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell -
" 18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged - and waives the legal time for sentence -
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Carlos Manuel Mitado be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 - Defendant - at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged - Paid Court $2.50
paid	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 567.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Valleasta, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & is affiant —
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
Davis	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you the above named defendant be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Cash $5.50
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 568.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Fulton G. Berry
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 18th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Fri Chell.
" 19th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Fulton G. Berry be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00. Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged. — Paid Const. $6.00 —
paid	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 569.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, alias Chinaman
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell.

Fulton G. Berry

Assault.

No. 568.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Fulton G. Berry, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 18th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Fri Chell.
" 19th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Fulton G. Berry be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10 [illegible] said fine with Court [illegible] Court, $6.00 [illegible] Chell J.P.
paid	

New Scott

BEN R. WALKER
HISTORICAL COLLECTION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

No. 569.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe, alias Chinaman
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 14th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell.

No. 570.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - Chinaman -
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 19	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Chinaman McBride -

No. 571.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & John Doe — alias
John Atherian & Joseph Atherian
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants ordered to appear at one o'c. P. M. this day.
paid	
" "	After examination of all the circumstances attending this case it is ordered that the defendants be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendants.
	S. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 5-72.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Kuhner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

C. J. Clifford — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $82.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell

No. 5-73.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
H. Schell
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 Sept. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell

No. 574.

In the Justice's Court of Fluid Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jane Doe — alias. Maggie Collins —
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the officer.
" 22.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights before the law — Defendant ordered to appear for trial on her own recognizance — on an order of the Court —

No. 575.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action account

Kutner Goldstein & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

Owen Holmes Defendant

Demand $26.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant Summons & given Cons. Non o hull. This Cause dismissed by Plaintiff. J. B. Hill J.P.

No. 576

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Chung Shack, Defendant

Action assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. G. Griffith, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 — PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 23. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno

" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his examination in default of bond.

paid

" Bonds Man gave defendant up recommitted by the Const.

" 24. Defendant files his bond for his appearance for Examination

Oct. 1st. Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C. P.M. Oct. 2. 1885

Speed Subpoenaed for the People & Defendant

" " 2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Cause Continued by request of Defendant until 2 O'C. P.M. Oct. 2d 1885

" 2. 2 O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court, and ready for Examination. The Court appointed Miss Jennie Frazier Short-hand reporter for the Cause. Ah Hing & Sam as interpreter — witness Sworn and examined pro and con. Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took the Same under advisement until 9 O'C. A.M. Oct. 3d 1885.

3. 9 O'C. A.M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully considered this Matter, and it appearing to me that the Crime of Battery was committed on the 22d day of September 1885 in the town of Fresno on the person of one Chung Chum and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Chung Shack guilty thereof. Therefore order that the District Attorney file a Complaint against the said Chung Shack and that he be brought into Court and legally tried for the same as the law directs.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 577.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Burt
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1845	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Constt. Windhill

No. 5-78.

In the Justice's Court of Ghicl Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Charley
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept: 24.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued against Ah Charley. He is shown to defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence.
Paid	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Charley be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Fresno County for a period of five and one half days in proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 579

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Lewis Hayes — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Windhill
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty
paid	as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Therefore by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that
	you Lewis Hayes be and you are hereby Committed
	to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to be im-
	prisoned (at any time within the next twelve Months if found
	within said County) in the County Jail of said County for
	a period of Twenty days —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-81.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mattie Willard, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 24	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McBurnell
" 26	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights before the law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Mattie Willard be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 Defendant at once paid said fine in to Court and thereupon discharged —
paid	Paid Const. $6.00 —
	S. A. Wills. J.P.

No. 5-81.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
James Riley, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 25	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence —
"	Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you James Riley be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned at any time within the next twelve Months "if found within said County" in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty days —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-82.

In the Justice's Court of Ilsine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Dohmall
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sheriff Le. Blanc

No. 5-83.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note of Hand

Ole Anderson Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Bohmback Defendant

Demand $230.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	26.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell
Oct.	1st.	Now comes the Defendant in propriapersona and for answer
		denies each and every material allegation in the
		Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
	2d.	Now comes the proper Parties to this action and agree that
		said action be continued indefinitely until 1st day of June 1886 —
		If not then paid Defendant agrees that Plaintiff shall then
		have Judgment for the amount then due on said Note together with
		the interest thereon — Defendant paid this day the sum of $75.00 on this action —
1884. June	5	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
"		it is now ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
		and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of
		One Hundred and Twenty Six and 90/100 Dollars the amount
		now due and unpaid on a certain promissory Note a copy
		of which is on file herein together with the interest thereon
		as set out in the Complaint herein and the further sum
		of Thirteen and 20/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended
		in the prosecution of said action in behalf and for the
		United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal and interest — 176.91
		" " " Justice fee — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 4.70
"		Total amount of Judgment, $190.11
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-84.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Lewis & Nettie Jones, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell —
" 28	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them & of their rights before the law — Defendant Nettie Jones plead guilty as charged & waives the legal time for sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Nettie Jones be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $10.00 Defendant at once paid said fine & thereupon discharged — Costs $6.00
"	Defendant Wm Lewis plead not guilty as charged — after hearing a statement of the case — it was ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant —

paid

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-85-

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ducheu John
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. McDonald
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
paid	and of his rights before the law. The Court after investigation of the Cause ordered that the Defendant be discharged and leave the Town
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-86.

In the Justice's Court of Fluvial Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Antone P. Roza
Defendant

Action Forgery

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Windhill.

No. 587

In the Justice's Court of Ashland Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Arnold
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 30	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Constable Francis

No. 5-88.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. L. Read
Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Hall
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $28.75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	30.	Complaint filed Sum

No. 5-89.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Indy Bealinglay & Wm Courtright
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Fresno
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against
		them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead
pd		not guilty — after examining the Cause the Court ordered
		that the Defendants be discharged upon the ground that
		the testimony is insufficient to convict —
		D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 590.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thomas Carroll -
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Tuolumne -
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence - Therefore by virtue of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Thomas Carroll be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days -
		J. N. Wells J.P.

No. 591

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Carroll, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant
" 9.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleades guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
paid	
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Thomas Carroll be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of five days —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-92.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Jacob Aghdinian John Aghdinian and John Boroghian, Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & give the affdt-Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law - Defendant held for their appearance for examination in a bond of $100.00 each -
" "	
" 23.	This cause by consent of Parties is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. October the 29th 1885 -
26.	This cause on motion of the District attorney is dismissed the evidence being insufficient to convict -

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 593.

In the Justice's Court of Ethel Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jo Bofs
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Court to Kindall
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
paid	him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads
	guilty as charged – and waives the legal time for trial –
	Defendant ordered to appear for trial on his own recognizance
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 594.

In the Justice's Court of Ohio Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Louis Paradin, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of the right before the Defendant pleades guilty as charged and name the legal time for Defence — Defendant ordered to appear for Defence at 9.00 A.M. Oct. 3. 1885. on his own recognizance.
B. avis	J. H. Hills J.P.

No. 595.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Barnes & James Finley
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights. Upon the Law Defendants plead guilty as charged Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 3. 1885 on their own recognizance
Pd	S H Hill J.P.

No. 5-96.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Smith
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Mitchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for trial — Defendant ordered to appear for trial at 9.00 A.M. Oct. 3. 1885 on his own recognizance —
Paid	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 597.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Ching Slack, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff
E. J. Griffith, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 3. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Windhill
" " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 2 O'C P.M. — Oct 6th 1885 — Defendant demands trial by jury — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $300.00 —
" 5. Issued venire and subpoena and gave Const Mendo
" 6. 2 O'C P.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed with the trial — Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualifications — after which the following jurors by consent of parties tried: A Samil — Amos Harry, J. Dughan — J Bartholomew. W. H. Hutton. H. Day — C. C. Ackle W. R. Minick. Lewis Hamma. C. M. Jones. & John Allen — Ching Chew Lam Lee — Jin Gee — C. M. Hamm. & Ah Ding as witnesses for the People — after which the Prosecution closed — Defendant & Ching Yan — sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — defendant closed — After argument pro and con — of Counsel the Cause was submitted to the jury for their deliberation & verdict
" after a short retirement the jury were returned in to Court with the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged — W. J. Minick Foreman.
" The Court ordered the Defendant to appear for sentence at 9 O'C A.M. Oct. 7th 1885 —
" 7th 9 O'C A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for sentence
" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ching Slack be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $100.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Mendo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of one hundred days —

J. H. Hill Jr. P.

No. 598.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Exodus Haynes, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant plead not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Oct. 8th 1885. — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $50.00 —
Paid "	
" 7th	Defendant demands trial by jury — writ issued. also Subpoenas — for the People and defendant —
" 8th	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed — Parties ready to proceed with the trial. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which by consent of parties the following jurors were drawn to try the cause to wit: John Webb — Herman Kerdle A Daniel — J.A. Bell, Sol Walner — R.H. Fleming & Henry Webster, May J. Ford — May Lovell & Ann. J. Haynes. — Pres — Clerk — after swearing and examining the said witnesses — Wm. Owen — Exodus Haynes, W. H. Harter, Defendant Clerk and Cause submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — without argument —
"	The jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict: We the jury find the defendant not guilty — The Court ordered that the jury and the Defendant be discharged —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 599.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thaile Bonn Gadt alias
John Whalan
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonell
"	7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. The Court after hearing the evidence in this cause ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the testimony is insufficient to convict—
Paid		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 600.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Joe Sullivan
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Sheriff Barber
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty to charge and waives the legal time for trial
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid that for said offense that you Joe Sullivan be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County for a period of Twelve days—
	D. H. Hill J.P.

Paid

No. 641

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Paddy Ryan, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Win Shell
paid "	defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
	him and of his rights before the law defendant pleads
	guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you
	Paddy Ryan be and you are hereby committed to the
	Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff
	imprisoned in the County Jail of Tuolumne County for a
	period of Fifteen days —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 612.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Bowman, Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Bunn, Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $200.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	7.	Complaint filed —
1886. Oct.	4.	Issued Summons and give Court Window —
"	12.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of October 1886. and filed —
"	19th	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — and the time for answering having expired — the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff in proper person It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred Dollars the amount of the Note sued on and the sum of One Hundred and Ninety-Six and 50/100 Dollars interest thereon at the rate of one and one half per cent per month from date until paid and the sum of Six and 50/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action all in Gold Coin of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal 200.00
		" " " Interest thereon — 196.50
		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " " Constables " 2.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $ 403.00

D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 603.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

A. B. Butler — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias Alex Ocin — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.00 per

Lazle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Jeppson & Jeppson — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	8.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Constable Winchell
"	12.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 10th day of October 1885, and filed this day
"	14.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney Jeppson & Jeppson and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein

No. 614.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action On Contract.

Geo. A. Noble, Plaintiff

vs.

S. M. Lewis & H. V. Baird, Defendants

Demand $299.99

S. S. Wright, Attorney for Plaintiff

S. P. Hinds, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	8th.	Complaint filed —
"	9.	Now comes the defendants by their attorney S. P. Hinds and waives service of Summons and acknowledges the service of the same together with a copy of Plaintiffs Complaint filed herein —
	13th	Appearance of Defendant and Stipulation giving time to answer filed —
"	21st	The defendants in above entitled action having by their attorney filed their appearance herein, and the time for answer or demurrer to Complaint herein extended by Stipulation "to" "and including" October 15th 1885; having expired and no answer or demurrer to said Complaint being been filed by said defendants or either of them, Now on Motion of Plaintiff the default of defendants and each of them is hereby entered — and Plaintiff having applied to the Court for the relief demanded in said Complaint and having been sworn and examined in open Court as a witness in his own behalf touching the allegations contained in said Complaint, and it appearing that all and singular the said allegations are true, and that said Plaintiff has been damaged as therein charged and prayed for, and the Court having fully considered the law and the facts; It is ordered that judgment be and the same is hereby entered herein in favor of George A. Noble Plaintiff herein and against S. M. Lewis and H. V. Baird defendants herein for the sum of two Hundred and ninety nine Dollars and ninety nine Cents; amount sued for and the sum of Five Dollars Costs herein incurred — and that Plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendants $299.99/100 principal and the sum of $5.00 Costs expended in the prosecution of said — action in Coupon money of the United States —

Judgment for the Principal sued for 299.99.

" " Costs for — 5.00

Total amount of Judgment — $304.99

S. H. Hill J.P.

See Page 334

No. 6115

In the Justice's Court of Stockton Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action On account for Rent

E. F. Bernhard assignee & Plaintiff — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Hart — Defendant

Demand $125.00

S. S. Wright — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	9th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Tuolumne
"	"	affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment
		approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Tuolumne
"	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
		together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th
		day of October 1885. and filed —
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"	15.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process
		and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Com-
		plaint: and the time allowed by law for answering having
		expired. the default of the said defendant in the premises
		is hereby duly entered according to law —
	.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
		process. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
		Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering
		having expired and the default of the said defendant
		in the premises having been duly entered according
		to law. Now at this day on application of S. S.
		Wright attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment
		be entered herein against the said defendant in
		accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Com-
		plaint on file herein —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
		and recover of and from the defendant the sum of
		$125.00. the amount sued for — and the further sum
		$13.50 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of
		this action in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Principal sued for 125.00
		" " " Justice fees — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 5.00
	"	Total amount of Judgment $138.50
		S. H. Hill J.P.

J.P. fee paid —

No. 616.

In the Justice's Court of B" Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Thomas Brown & Jenny Wilson, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	9"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windhall
Paid	11.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and Ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 12." 1885. On their own recognizance
		D. H. Hill J.P.
Tuol		
Page 332	"	
1885. Oct.	21st	Now comes the Parties to this action and by Consent "that the Judgment be set aside and the Defendant be allowed to answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein — on payment of filing Costs paid by Plaintiff — which is now in the hands of the Court to await the determination of this action
	"	Now comes the Defendants by their attorney D. G. Hines and for answer denies each and every material allegation contained in Plaintiff Complaint herein —
	"	This cause by consent of Parties is set down for trial at 11 o'c A.M. October 30th 1885 — Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff —
	24	Defendant files his offer to allow judgment to be taken against them —
	27"	Now comes the Plaintiff and accepts Defendants offer to let judgment go against them herein for the sum of fifty dollars —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	"	It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendants — the sum of fifty dollars the amount offered by the said Defendants and accepted by the said Plaintiff and the further sum of $ Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — all in lawful money of the United States —
		Judgment for the amount offered & accepted — 50.00
	" " "	Justice fees — 8.75
	"	Total amount of Judgment $58.75
		D. H. Hill —

Paid to D. H. Hill Justice the sum of Fifty (50) dollars in full for judgment in action herein Oct 31st 1885 — D. G. Hines

Execution issued — Sold to Hill Oct. 31st 1885 — D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 647.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Wright — Geo. Johnson, John Doe — O. Smith & Jim Howard — Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
"	10,	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged. Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 12th 1885 on their own recognizance
Paid		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 618.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Murry, Thos. Lee, Jo. Bush, John Bacon
Henry Stewart, Jas. Gray & Billy Williams
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendants

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell -
"	10.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights - before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged and Ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 12th 1885 - On their recognizance
		S. H. Hill. J.P.

Paid

No. 619.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, (Chinaman) alias Ah Louie
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 12	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff Fresno
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
Sam	and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead not
	guilty as charged. Defendant went to jail in default
	of bond of $50.00 to await his trial. Cause set down for
	trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Oct 13th 1885
"	Defendants Bond filed and approved
13	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for
	trial. After hearing the proof in this action. The Court
	Ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the
	grounds that the evidence is insufficient to connect
	the Defendant of the Crime charged

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 611.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
James Wilson
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff White. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty. Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 13th 1885 — J. H. Hill
"	

No. 611.

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

Thomas Baylor & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

L. F. Winchell Constable — Defendant

Action Replevin —

Demand $299.00

Chady & Morrison — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Fanning affidavit and undertaking on Claim and delivery of personal property made and given Const. Fanning —
	14.	By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed at Plffs. cost — J. P. for Pltff. G. W. Lang — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 612.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Note of Lien

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Manning & Rocegue Plaintiffs

vs.

Warren Harbison & J. D. Harbison Defendants

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Butte
Nov.	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 5th and 14th days of Nov. 1885. and filed

No. 613.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Chang Om

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 Oct. 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const McJones

No. 614

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Maloney
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const: McDonald
Oct. 19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 20th 1885. On his own recognizance.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

Paid

No. 615.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note of hand

H. L. Graves — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Wallin — Defendant

Demand $84.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	17th	Complaint filed —
1886. Oct.	12.	Summons issued and given Court — Winchell —
"	18th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 16th day of October 1886 — and filed —
"	28th	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint herein filed and the time for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the the Plaintiff's complaint herein and the time legal for answering having expired — and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Eighty four dollars the amount of note sued on and the sum of Fifty three and 20/100 dollars the interest thereon and the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Tuolumne County of the United States of America —
"		Judgment for the principal — $84.00
		" " " Interest thereon 53.20
		" " " Justice's fees — 4.50
		" " " Constable " 2.00
"		Total amount of judgment — $143.70

S. H. Hill J.P.

Transcribed Plaintiff an abstract Oct. 29, 1886 —

No. 616.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
B. Smith — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald
"	22.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 2.00 P.M. Oct. 22. 1885 —
Paid "		Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. —
"	"	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him and paid the Cost — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged —
		S. H. Hill. Jus. P. —

No. 617

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Carpenter
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno
" paid	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law.
		Now comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him paid the cost of said action. Whereupon it is ordered that the above named James Carpenter be and he is hereby discharged —
		E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 618.

In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Whitney & John Mooney, Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 19th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Brown
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and ordered to appear for Sentence at 2.00 P.M. Oct. 20th 1885 on their recognizance
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 619

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
James Harry, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. — Fresno
20.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty as Charged and waiving the legal time for Sentence —
paid	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you James Harry be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and said Sheriff to be imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty days —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 620.

In the Justice's Court of Ishel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
George V. Beckman
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued return Court: Inyo —
20.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Pd	and of his rights before the law —
"	Now comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him. Pays the Costs
	Wherefore it is ordered that the defendant be discharged —
	S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 621.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Ferrie
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. McDonald
said	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plends guilty to Charge — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 20th 1885. on his own recognizance —
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 622.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

C. P. Horn, Defendant

Action for Turning the Race

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Fresno
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
"	and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty
	as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that
	you C. P. Horn be and you are hereby fined in the sum
	of $100 and indefinitely of the payment of said fine that you
	be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the
	County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in
	the County Jail of said County for a period of 10 days
	in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	S. H. Hill, J.P.

Paid

No. 623.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Leon Kenny, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deptj Constt Fresno
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
		and of his rights upon the law. Defendant plead not guilty
paid		Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50.00 — Cause set
		down for trial Oct. 28th 1885. at 2 O'C. P.M. —
	20th	Now comes the party injured and acknowledges that he
		has received satisfaction for the injury done him & paid the
		Costs. Whereupon it is ordered that the defendant be and
		he is hereby discharged —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 624

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Lawson
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given affiant
20.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law —
paid	Now comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and Paid the Costs —
	Whereupon it is ordered that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged —
	J. A. Hill J.P.

No. 625

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Bert Beattie
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor Trial.
furnishing intoxicating liquor to Indians
Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonnell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and
Paid "	of his right before the Court Defendant pleades guilty as
	Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you
	Bert Beattie be and you are hereby fined in the sum
	of $50.00/100 — and in default of the payment of said fine
	that you be and you are hereby committed to the
	Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Amador and by said
	Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for
	a period of fifty days in the proportion of one day im-
	prisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 626.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

R. H. Fleming, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Farley, Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $115.75/100

Grant Davis, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Fresno
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Fresno.
" 22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant at the Hd. Township of the County and State aforesaid together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 21st of Oct. 1885. & filed — Writ of attachment executed returned & filed —
23.	By order of Plaintiff this cause is hereby dismissed without prejudice — to Plaintiff — Costs paid by Plaintiff.

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 627

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John H. O'Neal
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonnel
21	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law
9 cent	" Now comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him & paid the Cost. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid - It is ordered that the Defendant be discharged
	I. H. Hill J.P.

No. 628

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Cole
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the officer
" 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law
"	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him and paid the costs
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered that the Defendant be discharged
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 629.

In the Justice's Court of Ishid Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Church
Defendant

Action Maintaining a Public Nuisance

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
Oct. 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Wm Chile "peace" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his [illegible]

BEN R. WALKER
HISTORICAL COLLECTION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

M. J. Church
nuisance
ditch ?

No. 628

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Cole
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the officer
" 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law
"	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges [illegible] satisfaction for the injury done him [illegible]
	Wherefore by reason [illegible] mission aforesaid
	It is ordered that the [illegible] d —
	[illegible] Hill J.P.

No. 629.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Clinch
Defendant

Action Maintaining a Public Nuisance

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
Oct. 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Wm Clinch "pleads" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law.

No. 630.

In the Justice's Court of Ishid Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Ju Tong and Ah Sang, Defendant

Demand $

Daly & Hinds, Attorney for Plaintiff
Daly & Hinds, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
" 31.	Defendant Ju Tong in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff to await his examination.
"	Cause set down for examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Nov. 3d 1885.
"	Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const.
Nov. 3.	This cause by consent is continued until 9 O.C. A.M. Nov. 7th 1885.
" 7.	Issued subpoena and gave Const.
" "	2 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination. Defendt asked that the witnesses be put under the rule of the Court. So ordered. E. S. Nelson appointed Shorthand reporter for this cause. Ah Dan and Chang Yow sworn as interpreters in this action. Ah Sum, Ah King, P. De Blanc & Ah Wam Kum sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Court adjourned until 9 O.C. A.M. November 9th 1885.
9	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed. Yung Ki sworn and examined, Prosecution closed. Gin Sam Duck sworn and examined for the defense. Defense closed. Cause submitted without argument.
"	The Court after having heard the testimony pro and con and having fully considered the same. And it appearing to me that the offence in the complaint mentioned on file herein has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Ju Tong guilty thereof. Order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. And he is hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno without Bail.

E. H. Hall J.P.
Ishid Township

No. 631.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James N. Freymans.
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell —
paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law, Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you James N. Freymans be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5-50 — Defendant at once paid said fine and was therefore discharged — Paid Const. $2.50

G. H. Hall, J.P.

No. 632

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Rasmussen, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8	23" Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the officer
"	24" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $500.00

No. 633.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Galligan
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. Tuolumne to produce in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Therefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is Ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Galligan be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and therefore is discharged — Paid Const. $2.25 —
paid "	

E. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 634

In the Justice's Court of Vine Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Harris —
Defendant

Demand $

W. T. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the officer to serve
31st	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $100.00 — Bond approved and filed — Cause set down for trial at 10.00 A.M. November the 12th 1885 —
" 13	This cause on motion of the Prosecuting Attorney is dismissed upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 635

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Brown
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Deputy Sheriff Le Blanc
paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law, Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Andrew Brown be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty five days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 636

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Franklin Harris, Defendant

Action Perjury

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 26	Complaint filed - Warrant issued & in affiant Council -
31	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant files for his appearance for examination in a bond of $500 Bond approved and filed - Cause set down for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. November 12th 1885 -
Nov. 12	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and ready to proceed with the examination - Witness sworn and examined pro and con - Cause submitted - The Court after fully considering the matter order that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to consider the defendant of the Crime Charged

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 637

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

William Hamilton
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 Oct. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Const. McDowell

No. 638.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

B. Jellick, Plaintiff

vs.

Hugh Daly, Defendant

Action Contract

Demand $

Edwards & Webb, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorneys —

No. 639

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Welsh & Thomas Kelly, Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 27	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court Winchell-
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Paid	and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty,
	and Ordered to appear for Sentence at 5 o'c. P. M. this day
	on their own recognizance-
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 641.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Damages

W. R. Nichols
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Orcier
Defendant

Demand $150 Gold Coin.

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dunne & Dunne
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Mitchell
Nov. 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 2d day of November 1885; and filed this day —
" 6.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Dunne & Dunne and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein —
" 7.	By consent of Parties this cause is set down for trial at 9 o'c. A.M. November 12th 1885 —
" 10.	Defendant demands trial by Jury. venire issued & given Const.
" 12.	9 o'c. A.M. Parties in court and ready to proceed — Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were by consent of parties sworn to try the cause viz: E. Bernhard, Geo. Rupert. P. Monahan O. M. Thompson — E. Fore — H. H. Chance, Sol Hollman Lutz Shelly and S. H. Hill — —
"	W. R. Nichols, R. E. Montague — Michael Cavanaugh Thomas Nash. and Geo. Gunn sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Prosecution closed —
"	S. N. Hong. J. S. Rollins. Geo. Bernhard & A. Orcier — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant — Defense closed —
"	Cause argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. The Jury after a short retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict viz. We the Jury find for the Plaintiff in the sum of $25.00 Twenty five P. Monahan foreman —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged — that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant judgment for the sum of Twenty five dollars damages — and the sum of $51.70 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in behalf many of the material stats —
"	Judgment for the damages — 25.00
	" " " Costs — 51.70
"	Total amount of Judgment — $76.70

S. H. Hill J.P.

Nov. 13 1885. Plff — filed his Order to Bile
" 28 — Defendants files his notice and undertaking on appeal
Transcript on appeal handed Defendants Counsel Dec 8th 1885 —

Defendant — allowed a stay of proceeding for ten days —

No. 641.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Tom Doe & Jennie Roe alias
Maggie Collins & Georgia Edwards
Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 28.	Complaint and affidavit filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Winchell.
paid	

No. 642.

In the Justice's Court of Ellis Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

David L. Cannon

Defendant

Action Ex Parte

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 28.	Affidavit of P. W. Simpson filed. Warrant issued returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell, and given Const. P. W. Simpson. S. H. Hill, J. P.
Paid	

No. 643

In the Justice's Court of Ind — Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff Lc. Blume

No. 644.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Ah Sam, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinny, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $39.50/100

E. J. Griffith, Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 29.	Complaint filed summons issued & given Const. Hinchell
" 31.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th day of October 1885 —
Nov 4.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs complaint herein —
" 18.	Demurrer argued and submitted — Demurrer overruled — defendant excepts — Defendant allowed two full days in which to answer —
" 19	Deft denies each and every allegation of Pltffs Complt. Deft. alleges that this action is not prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest therein,
" 24.	This cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Nov. 25th 1885 —
" 25.	By consent this cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Nov. 28th 1885 —
" 28.	By consent this cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Dec 8th 1885
Dec. 8th	11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court, Defendant by his Counsel, and ready for trial — both parties waive trial by jury — Ah Sam & Ah Jack sworn and examined as witnesses — and cross examined by the defendant — Cause closed and submitted — The Court after hearing heard the testimony and having fully considered the same — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment for the sum of Thirty Nine and 50/100 dollars the amount sued for — and the sum of Eleven dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in behalf thereof — Ph. H. S.
"	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 39.50
"	" " Justices fee — Novil J. Pl. F. & S. 7.00
"	" " Constables " 2.00
	Witness Ah Jack — one day — 2.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $50.50
	S. H. Hale J.P.
	Defendant allowed stay of proceedings ten days —

Filed in Jack - S. H. Hale J.P.

No. 645

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Account

Wong Hang Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spring Defendant

Demand $299.90/100

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
	30.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th day of October 1885 and filed.
Nov.	4.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Suppes & Suppes and files his Demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein.
"	18	Demurrer argued and submitted, Demurrer overruled. Defendant except. Defendant allowed five full days in which to answer
"		Defendant denies each and every allegation of Plaintiffs Complaint. Def. alleges that this action is not prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest therein.
"	24	This Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C.P.M. Nov. 25th 1885.
"	25.	By Consent this Cause is continued until Nov. 28th at 10 O.C. A.M.
	28.	By Consent this Cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Dec. 8th 1885.
Dec.	8	10 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Defendant asks to amend his answer to the plaintiffs complaint. Denied. Defendant further alleges that he has been damaged by Plaintiff in the sum of $299.90 for failing to set Casing around the kiln of brick according to Contract. Parties ready for trial. Both parties waive trial by jury. Ah Bong, sworn as interpreter. Wong Hang, and Ah Jack sworn as witnesses. Plaintiff closed. G. B. Sayle examined for the defense. Cause closed and submitted. The Court after having heard the testimony and having fully considered the same.
"		Wherefore by reason of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Two Hundred and thirty Nine and 40/100 Dollars, the amount of the principal allowed and the sum of Fifteen Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action all in lawful money of the United States.
"		Judgment for the principal allowed 239.40
		" " " Justice fees Wm. D. Paul J.P. 8.00
		" " " Constable " 2.00
		Witness and Interpreter " 5.00
		Total amount of Judgment $254.40

Paid in full — S. B. Hill J.P.

Dec. 8th 1885. Stay of five days allowed.

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 646.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne.

Ah Chow, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spring, Defendant

Action Account

Demand $188.00/100

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dunphy & Dunphy
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 29. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell

31. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 30th day of October 1885 — and filed —

Nov. 4. Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Dunphy & Dunphy and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's complaint herein —

" 16. Demurrer argued and submitted. Demurrer sustained. Defendant Excepts. Defendant allowed 2 full days in which to answer —

17. Deft. denies each and every allegation of Plaintiff's complaint —

" Deft. alleges that this action is not prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest therein —

" 24. This cause set down for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Nov 25th 1885 —

" 25. By consent the cause is continued until 11 O.C. A.M. Nov 28th 1885.

28. By consent this cause is continued until 11 O.C. A.M. Dec 8th 1885 —

Dec. 8. 11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Defendant by his counsel — and ready for trial — both parties waive trial by jury —

Ah Chow and Ah Jack sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Ah Quong sworn as interpreter — Witnesses cross examined by the Defendant. Cause closed and submitted. The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully considered the same —

Wherefore by reason of the law and of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Ninety and 05/100 Dollars as principal in this action and the sum of Eleven Dollars cash necessarily incurred in this action all in lawful money of the United States —

" Judgment for the principal allowed by the Court. 90.05

" " " Justice's fees — 7.00

" " " Constable " 2.00

" Witness " Ah Jack one day — 2.00

" Total amount of Judgment — $101.05

S. W. Hill J.P. —

Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days —

1886 Jan 6th Defendant filed his motion for new trial
" 16th Defendants motion overruled
1887 March 30th Case all turn —
Stay of proceeding continued from Jan. 1st 1886.

No. 647

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Bogan, Defendant

Action For prevention of Perjury

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	29.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Dept Court McDonell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Pd	"	and of his rights before the Law Cause set down for trial
		at 1 O.C. P.M. Oct. 30th 1885
	30.	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge
		against him. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waives
		the legal time for Sentence
	"	Therefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that
		that you W. H. Bogan be and you are hereby fined in
		the Sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine in
		to Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Costs $2.50

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 648.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Stephen Brown & Jas. George, Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 30.	Defendants filed their approved Bonds for their appearance for trial upon notice to appear
Nov. 9.	This cause by Consent set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Nov. 14th 1885. Issued Subpoenas for People & Defts. & gave Const. Brown
" 14.	11 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court, Defendant Moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds of Once in jeopardy for the same offense. Motion overruled. Defts. except. Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Nov. 16th 1885.
" 16.	11 O.C. A.M. Parties Defendants in Court. The Cause ordered that the Defendants be discharged upon the grounds that a former judgment of Conviction of the offense charged against the said Defendants was had in the First Township of Fresno County State of California.
	S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 649.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Stephen Brown & James George
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 30.	Defendant filed their appearance Bonds for their appearance for trial - when notified to appear -
Nov. 4.	This Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Nov. 9th 1885
5.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and the Defendants -
" 9.	Defendants in Court and by Consent - This Cause is Continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Nov 14th 1885 - Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendants
" 14.	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court - Now Comes the district attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action without prejudice - to the people. So - ordered

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 650.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

P. N. Manning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Harbison, Defendant

Action Writ of Replevin

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff Tuolumne
Nov.	14	Summons returned showing personal service and defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of November 1885, and filed —

No. 651.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Higgens
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Same	and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for trial
	in a bond of $300.00. Bond approved and filed —
Nov. 2.	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this
	action and acknowledges that she has received Satisfaction
	for the injury done her — and paid the Cost incurred in
	the prosecution of this action —
	Wherefore this Cause is dismissed —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 652

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

N. J. Lamson, Plaintiff

vs.

R. H. Fleming, Defendant

Action Due bill

Demand $32.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 2	Complaint filed. Now comes the Defendant in open Court and waives the issuance of Summons in this action and acknowledges the service of the Summons and says that the Plaintiff in this action may take judgment against him for the sum of $32.25/100 and for the Justices Costs incurred in the prosecution of this action. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be entered herein against the said R. H. Fleming Defendant and in favor of N. J. Lamson, the Plaintiff for the sum of $32.25 the principal involved in this action and for the sum of $3.50 the Justices fees necessarily incurred in said Cause all in lawful money of the United States.
	Judgment for the principal — 32.25
	" " " Justice fees — 3.50
	Total amount of Judgment — $35.75
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 653.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
J. B. Nash
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wm. Adams.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
"	and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty
	as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
	J. B. Nash be and you are hereby fined in the sum
	of $5.50 and in default of the payment of said fine that
	you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff
	of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned
	in the County Jail of said County for a period of 50
	days in the proportion of one day imprisonment
	for every dollar of said fine —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 654.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Genson Jenkins, John Stokes and Andrew Anderson.
Defendant

Action Vagrancy.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell.
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law
"	"	Defendants examined and discharged —
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 655.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Walter White, Thomas Lane & E. Lane
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O'C. A. M. Nov 3. 1885. on their own recognizance
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 656.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Jack Hagerty
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1875	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonnell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law —
Nov 3	Defendant Examined and dis charged —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 657

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

H. C. Dudley
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 3		Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court Winchell
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
paid		and of his rights before the law. Defendant examined
		and his Charge

J. R. Hill, J.P.

No. 658.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Smith, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Mar. 4. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonell.

" 5th Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Joe Smith be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $30.00 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for thirty days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 659

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Gee Lung
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald

No. 6 6 11.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Charles O'Neal
Defendant

Action Assault with intent to kill

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
" 5.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for his appearance for examination in a bond of $1000.00 Bond approved and filed —
"	Cause set down for examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Nov 10th 1885 —
" 10	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued by Consent until 1 O.C. P.M. this day —
"	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 9 O.C. A.M. Nov 11 1885 —
11.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed — G.W. Gearhart appointed as Short hand reporter — Isaac Baker, Geo. Dimmick, L.L. Williams, N.R. Armstrong M.A. Franklin — Sworn and examined as witnesses for Prosecution Closed — Defendant waives the examination of any witness in his defense — Cause Submitted — The Court after having fully considered this matter — and it appearing to me that the offense charged in the complaint on file herein — has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named defendant — Charles O'Neal — guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer to the same — and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne — And that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $2000.00 and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail — D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 661.

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Nuisance

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

G. G. Berry
Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 Nov. 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.

No. 662.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Gannon, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the officer.
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waving the legal time for sentence
Devil "	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Jack Gannon pay and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and therefore discharged — Paid Costs: $2.50

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 663.

In the Justice's Court of Bo. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Lynch, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	6th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. McDonell.
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance for examination in a bond of $500 —
Paid		Bond approved and filed —

No. 644.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Cosadon Haynes — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

F. E. Gray, Attorney for Plaintiff

Helm & Zerkle, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 6th	Complaint filed warrant issued and given to Const. McDowell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $100.00 —
paid	Bond appeared and filed —
" 16.	Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Nov the 17th 1885 —
	Defendant demands trial by jury — jury issued
" 19.	Now comes the Prosecuting attorney in this action and moves the court to dismiss this action and to discharge the Defendant upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict — so ordered
	D. H. Hill

No. 665.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action account

Beecher & Hanson — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Carlson — Defendant

Demand $42.40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	7	Complaint filed & summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell
"		This cause dismissed by Plaintiff J.P. for costs.
		J. R. Hill J.P.

No. 666.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe - William Murray.
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Wheeler.
"	9.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court defendant pleades guilty - and ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. November 10th 1885. Own his own recognizance
paid		S. A. Hall J.P.

No. 667.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John J. Cole, Matt. Nabob and John Doe — alias Wm. Calhoun, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell —
" paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Cause re-demanded for trial — at 11 o'c. A.M. Nov 13th 1885 —
10.	Defendant Wm. Calhoun in Court and deposits with the Const. $50.00 — for his appearance for trial —
"	Defendant Matt Nabob files his bond of $50.00 for his appearance for trial —
"	Defendant John J. Cole files his bond —
" 13.	Now comes the Prosecuting attorney and asks the Court to dismiss this action without prejudice to the People upon the ground that the Complaint on file herein does not properly set up the offense of which the Defendants are guilty — Whereupon the Court ordered that said action be dismissed —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 668.

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Edward Burton F. H. Mansfield and Thomas Kelly — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 9.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. McDowell
" 10.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Cause set down for examination at 2 O'C. P.M. Nov 11th 1885 —
" 11.	1 O'C. P.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for examination — S. Popson & William Bethune, & Edward Burton. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Prosecution Closed. Geo. Young. Chas Holly. Witness for Defense Closed. Cause Submitted to the Court — The Court after having fully considered this matter — And it appearing to me that the offence in the Complaint mentioned as has been committed — and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Edward Burton F. H. Mansfield and Thomas Kelly guilty thereof — I Order that they be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne — and that Edward Burton and F. H. Mansfield be admitted to bail in the sum of $1000.00 Each and that Thomas Kelly be admitted to bail in the sum of $500.00 and in default of bail to be committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until they give such bail —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 669

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie Wilson & Bill Brown
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	9	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dep. Sheriff De Blanc
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead
pleaded		guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for their offence that you and each of you be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $5.50 — Defendants at once paid said fine — and thereupon this charge — Paid Court, $5.50
		J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 670

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Wilson
Defendant

Action Bagpancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
Nov		him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads
		guilty. Defendant ordered to appear for sentence
		at 9 O'C. A.M. November 1885. on his own recognizance —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 671.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm Jackson & Wm Berry.
Defendant

Action Nuisance

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & served Defd. Court McDonell Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty, and ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. November the 10th 1885 on their own recognizance —
Paid		
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 672.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Lu Chung
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 9.	Complaint and affidavit filed Warrants issued and given Dept. Court. Fresno

tiff

ant